

Affine and wrap algebras over octonions

S.V. Ludkovsky

10.12.2008

Abstract

The article is devoted to affine and wrap algebras over quaternions and octonions. Residues of functions of quaternion and octonion variables are studied. They are used for construction of such algebras. Their structure is investigated.

1 Introduction

Mention that Lie algebras are associative while the Cayley-Dickson algebras $\mathcal{A}_r$ with $r \geq 3$ are non associative [1, 11, 13, 27]. This induces specific features of algebras over $\mathcal{A}_r$. In this article the developed earlier technique of residues of meromorphic functions of Cayley-Dickson variables from [17, 18] is used. It is necessary to mention that theory of functions of Cayley-Dickson variables differ drastically from that of complex and certainly has many specific features. It is useful not only for mathematics, but also for theoretical physics, including quantum mechanics, quantum field theory, partial differential equations, non commutative geometry, etc. [4, 8, 9, 16, 26].

Over the complex field loop algebras are known. They are defined for meromorphic functions in an open domain U with one singular marked point $z_0 \in U \subset \mathbf{C}$ [10]. But in the case of Cayley-Dickson algebras it is possible to consider meromorphic function with singularities in a closed subset W of codimension not less than 2. This W may already be of dimension greater than zero and winding around W may be in any plane containing $\mathbf{R}$. Thus there are winding surfaces around W , so that the loop interpretation is lost. Therefore, analogs of loop algebras over $\mathcal{A}_r$ are called here wrap algebras.

In this article affine and wrap algebras over quaternions and octonions are introduced and studied. For this residues of functions of quaternion and octonion variables are defined and their properties are described. They are used for construction of such algebras. Their structure is investigated. All main results of the paper are obtained for the first time.

2 Algebras over octonions

To avoid misunderstandings we first introduce our notations and definitions.

1. Remark. Let V be a vector space over the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$. This means by our definition that $V = {}_0V i_0 \oplus \dots \oplus {}_{2^r-1}V i_{2^r-1}$, where ${}_0V, \dots, {}_{2^r-1}V$ are pairwise isomorphic real vector spaces, while $\{i_0, \dots, i_{2^r-1}\}$ is the set of the standard generators of $\mathcal{A}_r$, $i_0 = 1$, $i_j^2 = -1$, $i_0 i_j = i_j = i_j i_0$ and $i_j i_k = -i_k i_j$ for each $1 \leq j \neq k \leq 2^r - 1$, $2 \leq r$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathbf{H}$ is the quaternion skew field, $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathbf{O}$ is the octonion algebra. If in addition each ${}_jV$ is an associative real algebra and $(ax)(by) = (ab)(xy)$ for each $x, y \in V_0$ and all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_r$, then we call V the super-algebra over $\mathcal{A}_r$. For short we call it also algebra.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie super-algebra over the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$, $r \geq 2$. By our definition this means that $\mathfrak{g} = {}_0\mathfrak{g} i_0 \oplus {}_1\mathfrak{g} i_1 \oplus \dots \oplus {}_{2^r-1}\mathfrak{g} i_{2^r-1}$, where ${}_0\mathfrak{g}, \dots, {}_{2^r-1}\mathfrak{g}$ are pairwise isomorphic real Lie algebras, $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{2^r-1}\}$ are the standard generators of $\mathcal{A}_r$. The multiplication in $\mathfrak{g}$ is such that

$$(1) [xi_k, yi_j] = (-1)^{\chi(k,j)+1} [yi_j, xi_k]$$

for each pure states $xi_k \in {}_k\mathfrak{g} i_k$ and $yi_j \in {}_j\mathfrak{g} i_j$, where $\chi(k, j) = 0$ if either $k = 0$ or $j = 0$ or $k = j$, while $\chi(k, j) = 1$ for $k \neq j$ with $k \geq 1$ and $j \geq 1$. The Jacobi identity takes the form:

$$(2) [xi_k, [yi_j, zi_s]] + (-1)^{\xi(k,j,s)} [yi_j, [zi_s, xi_k]] + (-1)^{\xi(k,j,s)+\xi(j,s,k)} [zi_s, [xi_k, yi_j]] = 0$$

for each pure states $xi_k \in {}_k\mathfrak{g} i_k$, $yi_j \in {}_j\mathfrak{g} i_j$ and $zi_s \in {}_s\mathfrak{g} i_s$, where $\xi(k, j, s) \in \{0, 1\}$ is such that $i_k(i_j i_s) = (-1)^{\xi(k,j,s)} i_j(i_s i_k)$. Moreover, the multiplication is real $\mathbf{R}$ bilinear:

$$(3) [ax, by] = ab[x, y] \text{ for each } x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } a, b \in \mathbf{R};$$

$$[x_1 + x_2, y] = [x_1, y] + [x_2, y] \text{ and } [y, x_1 + x_2] = [y, x_1] + [y, x_2] \text{ for all } x_1, x_2, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

For short instead of Lie super-algebra we shall also write Lie algebra or (Lie) algebra over $\mathcal{A}_r$.

Consider the family $Mat_n(\mathcal{A}_r)$ of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathcal{A}_r$.

If X and Y are vector spaces over $\mathcal{A}_r$ then we say that a mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is left $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear if it is $\mathbf{R}$ linear and $A(z {}_0x) = zA {}_0x$ for each pure vector ${}_0x \in {}_0X$ and every Cayley-Dickson number z . The space of all left $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear operators from X into Y we denote by $L_l^a(X, Y)$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a vector space over $\mathcal{A}_r$. Then we denote by $\mathfrak{h}_l^*$ the space $L_l^a(\mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{A}_r)$ of all left $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear functionals. Evidently $\mathfrak{h}_l^*$ is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space.

We call vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$ in a vector space X over $\mathcal{A}_r$ with $2 \leq r \leq 3$ vector independent, if for each non zero constants $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ each vector $\{a_1 v_1 b_1\}_{q_1(3)} + \dots + \{a_n v_n b_n\}_{q_n(3)}$ is non zero for each associators $\{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n\}_{q(n)}$ indicating on an order of the multiplication, when $r = 3$. For $r = 2$ these associators can be dropped, since the quaternion skew field is associative.

Consider a $n \times m$ matrix B with entries in $\mathcal{A}_r$. If $2 \leq r \leq 3$, then $\mathcal{A}_r$ is alternative and each equation $ax = b$ in it has the solution, which for $a \neq 0$ is $x = a^{-1}b$. Therefore, the Gauss algorithm of reducing a matrix to the step form is applicable in this case. It is seen from the step form of the matrix B that the rank of B by rows and columns is the same. Henceforth we consider $2 \leq r \leq 3$ for algebras, if another is not specified.

For example, Conditions (1 – 3) are satisfied, when $\mathfrak{g} = {}_0\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}_r$ and $[xa, yb] = [x, y]ab$ and $xa = ax$ for each $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_r$ and all $x, y \in {}_0\mathfrak{g}$, where ${}_0\mathfrak{g}$ is the real Lie algebra.

2. Definitions. A matrix A is called a generalized Cartan matrix if

$$(C1) a_{j,j} = 2 \text{ for each } j,$$

(C2) $a_{j,k}$ are non positive integers for all $j \neq k$,

(C3) $a_{j,k} = 0$ implies $a_{k,j} = 0$.

By a realization of a matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{A}_r)$ with $2 \leq r \leq 3$ we call the triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \Upsilon, \Upsilon^\vee)$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a vector space over $\mathcal{A}_r$, $\Upsilon = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} \subset \mathfrak{h}_l^*$, $\Upsilon^\vee = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ so that

1 Υ and $\Upsilon^\vee$ are $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector independent;

2 $\langle \gamma_k, \beta_j \rangle = a_{k,j} \in \mathcal{A}_r$, for all $k, j = 1, \dots, n$;

3 $n - l = \dim_{\mathcal{A}_r} \mathfrak{h} - n$, where l denotes the rank of A by rows over $\mathcal{A}_r$;

4 $\langle a, b \rangle := b(a) \in \mathcal{A}_r$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{h}_l^*$ and $\langle {}_0 y, \beta_j \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ and ${}_0 y \in {}_0 \mathfrak{h}$; $\langle {}_s y i_s, {}_k \beta i_k \rangle = (-1)^{\chi(s,k)} \langle {}_k \beta i_k, {}_s y i_s \rangle$ for all pure states.

Two realizations $(\mathfrak{h}, \Upsilon, \Upsilon^\vee)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_1^\vee)$ are called isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism of vector spaces $\phi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_1$ so that $\phi(\Upsilon^\vee) = \Upsilon_1^\vee$ and $\phi^*(\Upsilon) = \Upsilon_1$, where $\langle \phi^*(\beta), \gamma \rangle := \langle \beta, \phi(\gamma) \rangle$ for all $\beta \in \Upsilon$ and $\gamma \in \Upsilon^\vee$.

3. Proposition. *For each $n \times n$ matrix A there exists a unique up to an isomorphism its realization. Realizations of matrices A and B are isomorphic if and only if B can be obtained from A by interchanging its rows or columns.*

Proof. We can enumerate rows and columns of the matrix and consider that $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where A_1 is the $l \times n$ matrix of rank l . Compose the following matrix $C = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & I_{n-l} \end{pmatrix}$ and put $\mathfrak{h} = \mathcal{A}_r^{2n-l}$, where I_n denotes the unit $n \times n$ matrix. Take $\beta_j(x) = x_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $x_j \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $x \in \mathcal{A}_r^n$. Then as γ_j take rows of the matrix C . This gives the realization of A .

Vise versa, if for a realization $(\mathfrak{h}, \Upsilon, \Upsilon^\vee)$ we complete Υ up to a basis with the help of $\beta_{n+1}, \dots, \beta_{2n-l} \in \mathfrak{h}_l^*$. Then for suitable $l \times (n-l)$ matrix B and $(n-l) \times (n-l)$ matrix D of rank $(n-l)$ we get $\langle \gamma_j, \beta_k \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B \\ A_2 & D \end{pmatrix}$. Adding to β_{n+1} suitable $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear combinations of $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_l$ we can get, that $B = 0$, where $2 \leq r \leq 3$, since the octonion algebra is alternative and each equation either $ax = b$ or $xa = b$ has the solution with non zero a either $x = a^{-1}b$ or $x = ba^{-1}$ respectively. Then substitute $\beta_{n+1}, \dots, \beta_{2n-l}$ on their $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear combinations that to get $D = I$. This means the uniqueness of the realization up to interchanging of rows and columns.

If B is obtained from the matrix A by interchanging its rows and columns, then two realizations are evidently isomorphic, since $x \mapsto (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$ is the isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_r^n$, where σ is a transposition, that is a bijective surjective mapping of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

3.1. Remark. Instead of using left $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear functionals it is possible to use right $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear functionals in the realization of a matrix. Denote by $\mathfrak{h}_r^*$ the space of right $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear functionals on $\mathfrak{h}$, $\Upsilon_r \subset \mathfrak{h}_r^*$. If $(\mathfrak{h}, \Upsilon, \Upsilon^\vee)$ is the realization of the matrix A , then $(\mathfrak{h}_r^*, \Upsilon^\vee, \Upsilon_r)$ is the realization of the transposed matrix A^T .

For two matrices A_k , $k = 1, 2$, and their realizations $(\mathfrak{h}_k, \Upsilon_k, \Upsilon_k^\vee)$ we can get the realization of their direct sum $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ of these matrices $(\mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2, \Upsilon_1 \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times \Upsilon_2, \Upsilon_1^\vee \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times \Upsilon_2^\vee)$ it is called the direct sum of their realizations.

The matrix A is called decomposable, if after enumeration of its columns and rows A decomposes into a non trivial direct sum. Clearly that A can be presented as a direct sum of indecomposable matrices and hence its representation as a direct sum of indecomposable realizations. We call Υ the root basis, while $\Upsilon^\vee$ the dual root basis. Elements of Υ or $\Upsilon^\vee$ are called simple roots or dual simple roots respectively. We put

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{Z} \beta_j, Q_+ = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_+ \beta_j$$

and call $Q = Q(A)$ the root lattice, where $\mathbf{Z}_+$ denotes the set of all positive integers.

For $\beta = \sum_j k_j \beta_j \in Q$ the number $ht \beta := \sum_j k_j$ is called the hight of the element β . Introduce the partial ordering $\geq$ on $\mathfrak{h}_l^*$ putting $a \geq b$ if $a - b \in Q_+$.

4. Definitions. Take the matrix $A \in Mat_n(\mathcal{A}_r)$ and its realization $(\mathfrak{h}, \Upsilon, \Upsilon^\vee)$. We introduce the auxiliary algebra $\eta(A)$ over $\mathcal{A}_r$ with generators $e_j, f_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and with defining relations

- (1) $[e_k, f_j] = \delta_{k,j} \gamma_j$;
- (2) $[h, h'] = 0$ for all $h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}$;
- (3) $[h, e_j] = \langle \beta_j, h \rangle e_j$;
- (4) $[h, f_j] = -\langle \beta_j, h \rangle f_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$;
- (5) $[au, bv] = (ab)[u, v] = [u, v](ab)$ for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_r$ and $u, v \in {}_0\eta(A)$.

Denote by η_+ and η_- the subalgebra in $\eta(A)$ generated by elements $e_1, \dots, e_n$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n$ respectively.

A matrix A is called decomposable, if after an enumeration of its rows and columns it becomes the non-trivial direct sum of two matrices.

5. Theorem. Let $\eta(A)$ be as in Definition 4. Then

- (1) $\eta(A) = \eta_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \eta_+$ is the direct sum of vector spaces over $\mathcal{A}_r$;
- (2) η_+ and η_- are freely generated by $e_1, \dots, e_n$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n$ correspondingly;
- (3) the mapping $e_j \mapsto -f_j, f_j \mapsto -e_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n, h \mapsto -h$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ has a unique extension up to an involution ω in the algebra $\eta(A)$;
- (4) there exists the decomposition into root spaces relative to $\mathfrak{h}$ of the form $\eta(A) = (\bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_+} \eta_{-\beta}) \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_+} \eta_\beta)$, where $\eta_\beta = \{x \in \eta(A) : [h, x] = \beta(h)x \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$, moreover, $\dim_{\mathcal{A}_r} \eta(A) < \infty$ and $\eta_\beta \subset \eta_\pm$ for $\pm\beta \in Q_+, \beta \neq 0$;
- (5) among ideals of $\eta(A)$ having the void intersection with $\mathfrak{h}$ a maximal ideal τ exists and $\tau = (\tau \cap \eta_-) \oplus (\tau \cap \eta_+)$ is the direct sum of ideals.

Proof. Let V be a vector space over $\mathcal{A}_r$ with a basis $v_1, \dots, v_n$ so that $v_1, \dots, v_n \in {}_0V$ and $\beta \in {}_0\mathfrak{h}_l^*$. Define the tensor algebra $T(V)$ of V as consisting of all elements $\{(b_1 x_1) \dots (b_k x_k)\}_{q(k)}$ and their finite sums, where $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathcal{A}_r, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}, k \in \mathbf{N}, q(s)$ is a vector indicating on an order of the tensor multiplication analogously to [17, 18]. This means that $T(V) = T^0(V) \oplus T^1(V) \oplus \dots \oplus T^k(V) \oplus \dots$, where $T^0(V) = \mathcal{A}_r, T^1(V) = V, T^k(V) = T^{k-1}(V) \otimes V + T^{k-2} \otimes T^2(V) + \dots + V \otimes T^{k-1}(V)$, where the natural equivalence relation in $T(V)$ is caused by the alternativity of the octonion algebra $\mathbf{O}$ and associativity of the quaternion skew field $\mathbf{H}$. For products of elements here the identities arising from the fact that $\mathbf{R}$ is the center $Z(\mathcal{A}_r)$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ can be taken into account.

If $r = 2$, then the quaternion skew field $\mathbf{H} = \mathcal{A}_r$ is associative and in this particular case associators $\{*\}_{q(*)}$ are not necessary.

Define the action of generators of the algebra $\eta(A)$ on the tensor algebra $T(V)$ of the space V by

$$(6) f_j(a) = v_j \otimes a \text{ for each } a \in T(V);$$

$$(7) h(1) = \langle \beta, h \rangle > 1 \text{ and by induction}$$

$$h(v_j \otimes a) = - \langle \beta_j, h \rangle v_j \otimes a + v_j \otimes h(a) \text{ for each } a \in T^{s-1}(V), j = 1, \dots, n, h \in \eta_0, h \in {}_0\mathbf{h}, v_j \in {}_0V;$$

$$(8) e_j(1) = 0 \text{ and by induction}$$

$$e_k(v_j \otimes a) = \delta_{k,j} \gamma_k(a) + v_j \otimes e_k(a) \text{ for every } a \in T^{s-1}(V), j = 1, \dots, n, v_j \in {}_0V.$$

Verify now that Conditions 4(1 – 5) are satisfied. Condition 4(5) is satisfied, since $\mathbf{R}$ is the center of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$. Relation 4(2) is satisfied for each $h, h' \in \mathbf{h}_0$, since $\langle \beta, h \rangle \in \mathbf{R}$ for each $h \in {}_0\mathbf{h}$. In view of 1(3) it is fulfilled for all $h, h' \in \mathbf{h}$ as well. Take up to an isomorphism $f_j \in {}_0\eta_-$ and $e_k \in {}_0\eta_+$. Then from (7, 8) we infer that $(e_k f_j - f_j e_k)(a) = e_k(v_j \otimes a) - v_j \otimes e_k(a) = \delta_{k,j} \gamma_k(a) + v_j \otimes e_k(a) - v_j \otimes e_k(a) = \delta_{k,j} \gamma_k(a)$, that is 4(1) is satisfied. Then due to (6, 7) we get

$$(h f_j - f_j h)(a) = h(v_j \otimes a) - v_j \otimes h(a) = - \langle \beta_j, h \rangle v_j \otimes a + v_j \otimes h(a) - v_j \otimes h(a) = - \langle \beta_j, h \rangle f_j(a) \text{ this implies } 2(8).$$

Mention that from (6, 7) for $s = 0$ Condition 4(3) follows. For $s > 0$ we take $a = v_k \otimes b$ and $h \in {}_0\mathbf{h}$, where $b \in T^{s-1}(V)$, so we deduce

$$(h e_j - e_j h)(v_k \otimes b) = h(\delta_{j,k} \gamma_j(b)) + h(v_k \otimes e_j(b)) - e_j(- \langle \beta_k, h \rangle v_k \otimes b + v_k \otimes h(b)) = \delta_{j,k} \gamma_j(h(b)) - \langle \beta_k, h \rangle v_k \otimes e_j(b) + v_k \otimes h e_j(b) + \langle \beta_k, h \rangle \delta_{j,k} \gamma_j(b) + \langle \beta_k, h \rangle v_k \otimes e_j(b) - \delta_{j,k} \gamma_j h(b) - v_k \otimes e_j h(b) = \langle \beta_j, h \rangle \delta_{j,k} \gamma_j(b) + v_k \otimes (h e_j - e_j h)(b). \text{ Apply the induction hypothesis to the second term, then we get 4(3) for } {}_0\mathbf{h}, \text{ since } e_j \in {}_0\eta_+. \text{ Taking into account 2(4) we get Condition 4(3) for each } h \in \mathbf{h}.$$

In view of Conditions 4(1 – 4) by induction prove that products of elements from the set $\{e_j, f_j : j = 1, \dots, n; \mathbf{h}\}$ belong to $\eta_- + \mathbf{h} + \eta_+$. Consider an element $u = u_- + u_{\mathbf{h}} + u_+$, where $u_- \in \eta_-$, $u_+ \in \eta_+$, $u_{\mathbf{h}} \in \mathbf{h}$. If $u = 0$, then it acts in $T(V)$ such that $u(1) = u_-(1) + \langle \lambda, u_{\mathbf{h}} \rangle = 0$, hence $\langle \lambda, u_{\mathbf{h}} \rangle = 0$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{h}_l^*$, consequently, $u_{\mathbf{h}} = 0$.

Now use the mapping $f_j \mapsto v_j$, which makes the algebra $T(V)$ as the enveloping algebra of the algebra η_- . The tensor algebra $T(V)$ is free and is the universal enveloping algebra $U(\eta_-)$ of η_- . This algebra is non-associative for $r = 3$, but it is associative for $r = 2$. The mapping $u_- \mapsto u_-(1)$ is the canonical embedding $\eta_- \hookrightarrow U(\eta_-)$. Thus $u_- = 0$ and the first statement is demonstrated.

If A is an algebra over $\mathcal{A}_r$, then by $[A]$ we denote the algebra obtained from A by supplying it with the bracket multiplication as above.

An algebra $U(L)$ over the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ with the unit is called the universal enveloping algebra for an algebra L over $\mathcal{A}_r$ if there exists a homomorphism $\epsilon : L \rightarrow [U]$ such that for each homomorphism $g : L \rightarrow [A]$ there exists a unique homomorphism $f : U \rightarrow A$ such that $g = f \circ \epsilon$.

By our construction the algebra $T(V)$ has the decomposition $T(V) = {}_0T(V)i_0 \oplus \dots \oplus$

${}_{2r-1}T(V)i_{2r-1}$, where ${}_0T(V), \dots, {}_{2r-1}T(V)$ are real pairwise isomorphic algebras. Since $v_1, \dots, v_n \in {}_0V$, then ${}_0T(V)$ is the universal enveloping algebra of ${}_0\eta_-$. By the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem and our choice of $f_1, \dots, f_n$ above the algebra ${}_0\eta_-$ is free generated by $f_1, \dots, f_n$. This makes Statement (2) evident.

Applying the involution ω we get, that η_+ is free generated by $e_1, \dots, e_n$. Using 4(3,4) we get the decomposition $\eta_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_+, \beta \neq 0} \eta_{\pm\beta}$. At the same time there is the estimate $\dim_{\mathcal{A}_r} \eta_{\beta} \leq (2n)^{|\text{ht } \beta|}$ for the dimension of η_{β} over $\mathcal{A}_r$. The latter implies (4).

If τ is an ideal of $\eta(A)$, then it has the decomposition $\tau = {}_0\tau i_0 \oplus \dots \oplus {}_{2r-1}\tau i_{2r-1}$ with pairwise isomorphic real algebras ${}_0\tau, \dots, {}_{2r-1}\tau$. Over $\mathbf{R}$ it appears that ${}_0\tau$ is the ideal of ${}_0\eta(A)$. For real algebras (5) is known. The ideal τ has the decomposition $\tau = \bigoplus_{\beta} (\eta_{\beta} \cap \tau)$, hence $\tau = \bigoplus_{\beta} [(\tau \cap \eta_- \cap \eta_{\beta}) \oplus (\tau \cap \eta_+ \cap \eta_{\beta})] = (\tau \cap \eta_-) \oplus (\tau \cap \eta_+)$ is the direct sum of $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector spaces. Then $[f_j, \tau \cap \eta_+] \subset \eta_+$ and $[e_j, \tau \cap \eta_+] \subset \eta_+$, consequently, $[\eta(A), \tau \cap \eta_+] \subset \tau \cap \eta_+$, also $[\eta(A), \tau \cap \eta_-] \subset \tau \cap \eta_-$. Thus the sum in (5) is the direct sum of ideals.

6. Note. Consider the quotient algebra $\mathbf{g}(A) = \eta(A)/\tau$. It exists, since the pairwise isomorphic real quotient algebras $(\eta(A))_j/\tau_j$ exist for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^r - 1$. Each element of $\mathbf{g}(A)$ is of the form $b + \tau$, where $b \in \eta(A)$. The matrix A is called the Cartan matrix of the (Lie super-) algebra $\mathbf{g}(A)$, while n is called the rank of $\mathbf{g}(A)$. The collection $(\mathbf{g}(A), \mathbf{h}, \Upsilon, \Upsilon^{\vee})$ we call the quadruplet associated with the matrix A .

Two quadruplets $(\mathbf{g}(A)^k, \mathbf{h}^k, \Upsilon^k, \Upsilon^{\vee, k})$, $k = 1, 2$, are called isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism of algebras $\phi : \mathbf{g}(A)^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{g}(A)^2$ such that $\phi(\mathbf{h}^1) = \mathbf{h}^2$, $\phi^*(\Upsilon^1) = \Upsilon^2$, $\phi(\Upsilon^{\vee, 1}) = \Upsilon^{\vee, 2}$.

We keep the notation $e_j, f_j, \mathbf{h}$ for their images in $\mathbf{g}(A)$. The subalgebra $\mathbf{h}$ is called the Cartan subalgebra and its elements e_j, f_j are called Chevalley generators. They generate the derivative sub-algebra which is by our definition $\mathbf{g}'(A)$ so that $\mathbf{g}'(A) = {}_0\mathbf{g}'(A)i_0 \oplus \dots \oplus {}_{2r-1}\mathbf{g}'(A)i_{2r-1}$ with pairwise isomorphic real algebras ${}_0\mathbf{g}'(A) \oplus \dots \oplus {}_{2r-1}\mathbf{g}'(A)$, where ${}_0\mathbf{g}'(A) = [{}_0\mathbf{g}(A), {}_0\mathbf{g}(A)]$ so that $\mathbf{g}(A) = \mathbf{g}'(A) + \mathbf{h}$.

Mention that $\mathbf{g}(A) = \mathbf{g}'(A)$ if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = n$ is maximal.

Then we put $\mathbf{h}' = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{A}_r \gamma_j$, hence $\mathbf{g}'(A) \cap \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}'$, $\mathbf{g}'(A) \cap \mathbf{g}_{\beta} = \mathbf{g}_{\beta}$, if $\beta \neq 0$.

In view of Theorem 5 there exists the decomposition into root spaces relative to $\mathbf{h}$ as

$$\mathbf{g}(A) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q} \mathbf{g}_{\beta},$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{\beta} = \{x \in \mathbf{g}(A) : [h, x] = \beta(h)x \ \forall h \in \mathbf{h}\}$ is the root sub-space corresponding to β . Particularly, $\mathbf{g}_0 = \mathbf{h}$. The number $\text{mult } \beta := \dim_{\mathcal{A}_r} \mathbf{g}_{\beta}$ is called the dimension of the element β . In view of §5 there is the estimate

$$\text{mult } \beta \leq (2n)^{|\text{ht } \beta|}.$$

An element $\beta \in Q$ is called a root, if $\beta \neq 0$ and $\text{mult } \beta \neq 0$. If either $\beta < 0$ or $\beta > 0$, then the root is called negative or positive respectively. As usually denote by $\Delta = \Delta(A)$, Δ_- and Δ_+ the family of all elements, of all positive elements, of all negative elements, then Δ is the disjoint union of Δ_- and Δ_+ .

So we get that $\mathbf{g}_{\beta} \subset \eta_+$ if $\beta > 0$, $\mathbf{g}_{\beta} \subset \eta_-$ if $\beta < 0$. Moreover, $\mathbf{g}_{\beta}$ is $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space spanned either on vectors $[\dots[[e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}], e_{j_3}] \dots e_{j_s}]$ with $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_s = \beta$ for $\beta > 0$, or on vectors $[\dots[[f_{j_1}, f_{j_2}], f_{j_3}] \dots f_{j_s}]$ with $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_s = -\beta$ for $\beta < 0$, since $e_j, f_j \in {}_0\mathbf{g}$. Thus $\mathbf{g}_{\beta} = \mathcal{A}_r e_j$

for $\beta_j > 0$, $g_{\beta_j} = \mathcal{A}_r f_j$ for $\beta_j < 0$, $g_{s\beta_j} = 0$ for $|s| > 1$. Each root is either positive or negative and this implies the following.

7. Lemma. *If $\beta \in \Delta_+ \setminus \{\beta_j\}$, then $(\beta + \mathbf{Z}\beta_j) \cap \Delta \subset \Delta_+$.*

8. Remark. In accordance with Theorem 5(3, 5) the ideal τ in $\eta(A)$ is ω invariant. Thus it induces the automorphism of the algebra $\mathbf{g}(A)$ called its Chevalley involution. This means that $\omega(e_j) = -f_j$, $\omega(f_j) = -e_j$, $\omega(h) = -h$ for each $h \in \mathbf{h}$. Moreover, $\omega(\mathbf{g}_\beta) = \mathbf{g}_{-\beta}$, hence $\text{mult } \beta = \text{mult } (-\beta)$ and $\Delta_- = -\Delta_+$.

9. Definitions. Suppose that G is a subset in the group ring ${}_0Gi_0 \oplus {}_{\mathbf{R}} \dots \oplus {}_{\mathbf{R}} {}_{2^r-1}Gi_{2^r-1}$, where ${}_jG$ are pairwise isomorphic commutative groups and $ab = \sum_{j,k} {}_ja {}_kb$ for all $a, b \in G$, $a = {}_0ai_0 + \dots + {}_{2^r-1}ai_{2^r-1}$, ${}_ja \in {}_jG$ for every j . Let the multiplication in G be such that

(1) there exists the unit element $e = {}_0ei_0$, where ${}_0e$ is the unit element in ${}_0G$;

(2) for each $a \in G$ there exists $a^{-1} \in G$ with $aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = e$,

(3) if $0 \leq r \leq 2$, then the multiplication is associative, if $r = 3$, then the multiplication is alternative (weakly associative) $(aa)b = a(ab)$, $b(aa) = (ba)a$ for all $a, b \in G$. Then we call G for short the quasi-commutative group instead of algebraic associative quasi-commutative group for $r = 2$ or alternative quasi-commutative group for $r = 3$.

Let G be a group. The decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_g$ of a vector space V into the direct sum of subspaces V_g over $\mathcal{A}_r$ is called a G gradation. Elements of V_g are called homogeneous of degree g .

An algebra A having the decomposition $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ into subspaces A_g such that $A_g A_h \subset A_{gh}$ for each $g, h \in G$ is called G graded. It is not supposed that A is associative or a Lie algebra.

An algebra A over $\mathcal{A}_r$ we call quasi-commutative, if its decomposition $A = {}_0Ai_0 \oplus \dots \oplus {}_{2^r-1}Ai_{2^r-1}$ with pairwise isomorphic real algebras ${}_0A, \dots, {}_{2^r-1}A$ is such that each ${}_jA$ is commutative.

10. Proposition. *Suppose that $\mathbf{h}$ is a quasi-commutative Lie algebra over $\mathcal{A}_r$, while V is its diagonalizable module, which means*

(1) $V = \bigoplus_{b \in \Lambda} V_b$, $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{h}_l^*$, where

$V_b := \{v \in V : f({}_kv) = b(f) {}_kv \ \forall f \in \mathbf{h}, \ \forall k = 0, \dots, 2^r - 1\}$, Λ is a multiplicative subgroup in $\mathbf{h}_l^*$.

Then each submodule U in V is graded relative to Gradation (1).

Proof. Consider an element $v \in V$ and write it in the form $v = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j$ with $v_j \in V_{b_j}$ and $v_j = {}_0v_ji_0 + \dots + {}_{2^r-1}v_ji_{2^r-1}$ with ${}_kv_j \in {}_kV_j$. There exists $f \in \mathbf{h}$ so that the numbers $b_j(f)$ are pairwise distinct. Then for $v \in U$ we get

$f^p({}_kv) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(f)^p {}_kv_j \in U$ for each $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and $k = 0, \dots, 2^r - 1$. We get the system of linear algebraic equations over octonions with the non-degenerate matrix. Using the gaussian algorithm we get, that all elements ${}_kv_j$ belong to ${}_kU$ and hence $v_j \in U$ for each j . The product of left linear functionals is also left linear. Mention that $({}_kvi_k)({}_jvi_j) \in {}_sVi_s$ for pure states ${}_kvi_k \in {}_kVi_k$, where $i_s = i_ki_j$. Thus $U = \bigoplus_{b \in \Lambda} (U \cap V_b)$ and this means that the submodule U is $\mathbf{h}$ graded also.

11. Note. If V is a graded vector space, then it can be supplied with the formal (or in

another words direct sum) topology having a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero consisting of all open subsets in V^F , where $V^F := \bigoplus_{g \in G \setminus F} V_g$, F is a finite subset in G , when each V_g is a topological vector space. The completion of V relative to such topology is $\prod_{g \in G} V_g$ and the latter space is called its formal (or direct product) completion.

A gradation can be introduced by counterpoising to generators a_j elements $b_j \in G$ and putting by the definition that their degree is $\deg(a_j) = b_j$. This defines a unique G graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if the ideal of relations between a_j is also G graded. This is the case for a free system of generators a_j , $j = 1, \dots, s$.

Particularly let $s_1, \dots, s_n$ be integers and put $\deg e_j = -\deg f_j = s_j$, $\deg \mathfrak{h} = 0$, then we get the $\mathbf{Z}$ graded algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j(s)$, where $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$, $\mathfrak{g}_j(s) = \bigoplus_{\beta = \sum_m k_m \beta_m \in Q; \sum_m k_m s_m = j} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$. Clearly if $s_j > 0$ for each j , then $\mathfrak{g}_0(s) = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{A}_r} \mathfrak{g}_j(s) < \infty$.

If $s = (1, \dots, 1) = \mathbf{1}$, then $\mathfrak{g}_j(\mathbf{1}) = \bigoplus_{\beta: ht(\beta)=j} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0(\mathbf{1}) = \mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\mathbf{1}) = \sum_m \mathcal{A}_r f_m$, $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathbf{1}) = \sum_m \mathcal{A}_r e_m$ so that $\eta_\pm = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm j}(\mathbf{1})$.

12. Lemma. *Let $a \in \eta_+$ and either $[a, f_j] = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ or $[a, e_j] = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, then $a = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a \in \eta_+$ such that $[a, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\mathbf{1})] = 0$, then $\sum_{k,m \geq 0} (ad \mathfrak{g}_1(\mathbf{1}))^k (ad \mathfrak{h})^m a$ is the subspace in η_+ over $\mathcal{A}_r$ invariant relative to $ad \mathfrak{g}_1(\mathbf{1})$, $ad \mathfrak{h}$ and $ad \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\mathbf{1})$. Thus if $a \neq 0$ we will get the ideal in $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ which has with $\mathfrak{h}$ the trivial intersection. This contradicts the definition of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$.

13. Proposition. *The center of the algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ or $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ considered over the real field $\mathbf{R}$ is $Z = \{h \in {}_0\mathfrak{h} : \langle \beta_j, h \rangle = 0 \ \forall \ j = 1, \dots, n\}$, moreover, $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} Z = n - l$.*

Proof. The center of the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ is the field $\mathbf{R}$ of real numbers. We use the relations 1(1–3). Suppose that $p \in Z$ and $p = \sum_j p_j$ its decomposition relative to the main gradation. Then $[p, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\mathbf{1})] = 0$ implies $[p_j, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}(\mathbf{1})] = 0$ for each $j > 0$. By Lemma 12 we get that $p_j = 0$ for all $j > 0$. From $[p, \mathfrak{g}_1(\mathbf{1})] = 0$ we infer that $p_j = 0$ for each $j < 0$. Thus $p \in \mathfrak{h}$ and we have $[p, e_j] = \langle \beta_j, p \rangle e_j = 0$, hence $\langle \beta_j, p \rangle = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Vice versa if $p \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\langle \beta_j, p \rangle = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, then p commutes with all Chevalley generators and hence belongs to the center of the algebra. Mention also that $Z \subset {}_0\mathfrak{h}$, since in the contrary case $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} Z > n - l$ and Υ will not be the $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector independent set.

14. Proposition. *If a matrix A is in $Mat_n(\mathcal{A}_r)$, then the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)$ over $\mathcal{A}_{r+1}$ is the smashed product of two copies of the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)$ over $\mathcal{A}_r$ for $1 \leq r \leq 2$.*

Proof. Since $a_{j,k} \in \mathcal{A}_r$ for each j, k , then using constant multipliers we can choose elements β_j and γ_j belonging to $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{A}_r})_l^*$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{A}_r}$ respectively. The Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_{r+1}$ is the smashed product of two copies of $\mathcal{A}_r$ with the help of the doubling procedure [1]. Therefore, each element $v \in \eta_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)$ can be decomposed in the form ${}_0v + {}_1vi_{2r}$ with ${}_0v$ and ${}_1v \in \eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)$, where i_{2r} is the doubling generator of $\mathcal{A}_{r+1}$ from $\mathcal{A}_r$. This gives the decomposition of $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)$ as the vector space over $\mathcal{A}_r$ into the direct sum $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A) \oplus \eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)i_{2r}$, where $i_0, \dots, i_{2^{r+1}-1}$ are the standard generators of $\mathcal{A}_{r+1}$.

On the other hand each pure state of $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)$ is either a pure state of $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)$ or of

$\eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)i_{2^r}$ so that all multiplication rules are the same in $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)$ and in $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A) \oplus \eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)i_{2^r}$. Using the **R** bilinearity of the multiplication and the decomposition of each element into a sum of pure states ${}_jvi_j$ with ${}_jv$ is belonging to the real algebra ${}_j\eta_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{r+1} - 1$, we get that the algebra $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)$ over $\mathcal{A}_{r+1}$ is the smashed product $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A) \otimes^s \eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)$ of two copies of $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)$ over $\mathcal{A}_r$ with the help of the doubling generator i_{2^r} .

The maximal ideal τ in $\eta_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)$ having with $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}$ the trivial intersection possesses the same decomposition $\tau_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}} = \tau_{\mathcal{A}_r} \otimes^s \tau_{\mathcal{A}_r}$ so that real algebras ${}_j\tau_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}$ and ${}_k\tau_{\mathcal{A}_r}$ are pairwise isomorphic for each j, k . This implies that ${}_j\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A) = {}_j\eta_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)/{}_j\tau_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}$ for each j . Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A) = \eta_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}}(A)/\tau_{\mathcal{A}_{r+1}} = (\eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)/\tau_{\mathcal{A}_r}) \otimes^s (\eta_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)/\tau_{\mathcal{A}_r}) = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A) \otimes^s \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{A}_r}(A)$.

15. Lemma. *Let J_1 and J_2 be two nonintersecting subsets in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ so that $a_{j,k} = a_{k,j} = 0$ when $j \in J_1$ and $k \in J_2$. Suppose that $\delta_s = \sum_{j \in J_s} k_{j,s} \beta_j$, $s = 1, 2$ and $\beta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$ is a root of algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ over $\mathcal{A}_r$, $2 \leq r \leq 3$. Then either δ_1 or δ_2 is zero.*

Proof. Let $j \in J_1$ and $k \in J_2$. Then $[\gamma_j, e_k] = 0$, $[\gamma_k, e_j] = 0$, $[e_j, f_k] = 0$, $[e_k, f_j] = 0$, consequently, $[e_j, e_k] = 0$ and $[f_j, f_k] = 0$ in accordance with Lemma 12. Thus algebras $\mathfrak{g}^1(A)$ and $\mathfrak{g}^2(A)$ commute, where $\mathfrak{g}^s(A)$ denotes the algebra generated by $\{e_j, f_j : j \in J_s\}$. The algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\beta(A)$ is contained in the subalgebra generated by $\mathfrak{g}^1(A)$ and $\mathfrak{g}^2(A)$, hence $\mathfrak{g}_\beta(A)$ is contained either in $\mathfrak{g}^1(A)$ or in $\mathfrak{g}^2(A)$.

16. Proposition. *The algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ over $\mathcal{A}_r$ with $2 \leq r \leq 3$ is simple if and only if*

- (1) $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{A}_r} A = n$ and
- (2) *for each $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ there exist indices $j_1, \dots, j_s$ so that $(\dots(a_{j,j_1} a_{j_1,j_2}) \dots) a_{j_s,k} \neq 0$, where n is the order of a matrix A .*

Proof. If either (1) or (2) is not satisfied, then by Lemma 15 $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ will contain a non trivial proper ideal ξ , $\xi \neq 0$, $\xi \neq \mathfrak{g}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ will not be simple.

If Conditions (1, 2) are satisfied and δ is a non zero ideal in $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, then δ contains a non zero element $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{A}_r} = n$, then by Proposition 13 $Z = 0$, hence $[h, e_j] = ae_j \neq 0$ for some j and inevitably $e_j \in \delta$ and $\gamma_j = [e_j, f_j] \in \delta$. From Condition (2) and from the fact that the norm in the octonion algebra is multiplicative we get that $e_j, f_j, \gamma_j \in \delta$ for all j . On the other hand, Condition (1) implies that $\mathfrak{h}$ is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector span of elements γ_j . Thus we get $\delta = \mathfrak{g}(A)$.

17. Definition. A $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathcal{A}_r$ is called symmetrizable, if there exists a diagonal matrix $D = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$, $d_j \neq 0$ for each j and a symmetric matrix B , that is $B^T = B$, so that

$$(1) A = DB,$$

where B^T denotes the transposed matrix B . In this case B is called the symmetrization of A and an algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is called symmetrizable.

For a symmetrizable matrix A with a given decomposition (1) and its realization $(\mathfrak{h}, \Upsilon, \Upsilon^\vee)$ we fix a complemented $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space $\mathfrak{h}_2$ to $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \text{span}_{\mathcal{A}_r} \{\gamma_j : j\}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$ a symmetric $\mathcal{A}_r$ valued form $(*|*)$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ such that

(2) it is left $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear by the left argument and right $\mathcal{A}_r$ linear by the right argument such that

$$(3) (\gamma_j|h) = d_j < \beta_j, h > \text{ for each } h \in \mathfrak{h},$$

- (4) $(h_1|h_2) = 0$ for all $h_1 \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}_2$,
- (5) $(\gamma_j|\gamma_k) = d_j b_{j,k} d_k$ with $b_{j,k} \in \mathbf{R}$ for each j and k .

18. Proposition. 1. The kernel of the restriction of the form $(*|*)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_1$ coincides with Z .

2. The form $(*|*)$ is non degenerate on $\mathfrak{h}$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 13. To prove the second statement consider the condition $0 = (\sum_j c_j \gamma_j | h)$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since $(\sum_j c_j \gamma_j | h) = \langle \sum_j c_j d_j \beta_j, h \rangle$ for each $h \in {}_0\mathfrak{h}$, then $\sum_j c_j d_j \beta_j = 0$, consequently, $c_j = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.

19. Note. The form $(*|*)$ is non degenerate and there is the isomorphism $\nu : {}_0\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow {}_0\mathfrak{h}_l^*$ having the natural extension up to the isomorphism $\nu : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_l^*$ and $\nu_r : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_r^*$ so that

- (1) $\langle \nu(h), p \rangle = (h|p)$ for all $h, p \in \mathfrak{h}$ and with the induced form $(*|*)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_l^*$ so that
- (2) $(\beta_j|\beta_k) = b_{j,k} = d_k^{-1} a_{k,j}$ for each j, k and
- (3) $\langle p, \nu_r(h) \rangle = (p|h)$ for each $h, p \in \mathfrak{h}$ and with the induced form $(*|*)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_r^*$. Clearly
- (4) $\nu(\gamma_j) = d_j \beta_j$ and
- (5) $\nu_r(\gamma_j) = \beta_j d_j$.

20. Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ be a symmetrizable Lie algebra and let 17(1) be its prescribed decomposition. Then there exists its non degenerate symmetric $\mathcal{A}_r$ valued form $(*|*)$ on $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ satisfying Conditions 17(2 – 5) and

(1) this form $(*|*)$ is invariant on ${}_0\mathfrak{g}(A)$, that is $([x, y]|z) = (x|[y, z])$ for all x, y and $z \in {}_0\mathfrak{g}(A)$,

- (2) $(\mathfrak{g}_\beta, \mathfrak{g}_\delta) = 0$ if $\beta + \delta \neq 0$,
- (3) the restriction $(*|*)|_{\mathfrak{g}_\beta + \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}}$ is non degenerate for $\beta \neq 0$,
- (4) $[x, y] = (x|y)\nu^{-1}(\beta)$ for each $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$, $\beta \in \Delta$.

Proof. Take the principal $\mathbf{Z}$ gradation $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ and put $\mathfrak{g}(m) = \bigoplus_{j=-m}^m \mathfrak{g}_j$ for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Define the form $(*|*)$ on $\mathfrak{g}(0) = \mathfrak{h}$ with the help of 17(2 – 6). We extend it on $\mathfrak{g}(1)$ as

- (5) $(e_j|f_k) = \delta_{j,k} d_j$, $j, k = 1, \dots, n$,
- (6) $(\mathfrak{g}_0|\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}) = 0$, $(\mathfrak{g}_1|\mathfrak{g}_1) = 0$, $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}|\mathfrak{g}_{-1}) = 0$.

In view of 17(2) $([e_j|f_k]|h) = (e_j|[f_k, h])$ for each $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, or equivalently $\delta_{j,k}(\gamma_j|h) = \delta_{j,k} d_j \langle \beta_j, h \rangle$, where we can take $e_j, f_k \in {}_0\mathfrak{g}$. Then the form $(*|*)$ satisfies Condition (1), when both elements $[x, y]$ and $[y, z]$ belong to $\mathfrak{g}(1)$. Now we can extend the form $(*|*)$ due to Rule 17(2) on $\mathfrak{g}(m)$ with the help of induction by $m \geq 1$ so that $(\mathfrak{g}_j|\mathfrak{g}_k) = 0$, if $|j|, |k| \leq m$ and $j + k \neq 0$. Thus Condition (1) is satisfied when $[x, y]$ and $[y, z]$ belong to $\mathfrak{g}(m)$.

Suppose that this extension is done for $\mathfrak{g}(m-1)$, then we should define $(x|y)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pm m}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mp m}$ only. Write y in the form $y = \sum_j [u_j, v_j]$, where u_j and v_j are homogeneous elements of non zero degree, belonging to $\mathfrak{g}(m-1)$. Then $[x, u_j] \in \mathfrak{g}(m-1)$ and for $u_j, v_j \in {}_0\mathfrak{g}(m-1)$ we put

(6) $(x|y) = \sum_j ([x, u_j]|v_j)$. Then by Rule 17(2) we extend it on $\mathfrak{g}(m)$. It remains to verify that the definition of Formula (6) is correct on ${}_0\mathfrak{g}$. Suppose that $x_j \in {}_0\mathfrak{g}_j$ for $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, take $k, j, s, t \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $|k + j| = |s + t| = m$ and $k + j + s + t = 0$; $|k|, |j|, |s|$ and $|t| < m$. Then

(7) $([[x_k, x_j], x_s]|x_t) = (x_k|[x_j, [x_s, x_t]]),$
since $([[x_k, x_j], x_s]|x_t) = (([x_k, x_s], x_j)|x_t) - (([x_j, x_s], x_k)|x_t)$
 $= ([x_k, x_s]|x_j) + (x_k|[x_j, x_s], x_t) = (x_k|[x_s, [x_j, x_t]] + [[x_j, x_s], x_t]) = (x_k|[x_j, [x_s, x_t]]).$
If now $x = \sum_k [p_k, w_k]$, then from (6, 7) we get
 $(x|y) = \sum_k ([x, u_k]|v_k) = \sum_k (p_k|[w_k, y]).$

Hence this value does not depend on a choice of expressions for x and y .

From definitions it follows that Condition (1) is fulfilled as soon as $[x, y]$ and $[y, z]$ belong to $\mathfrak{g}(m)$. Thus we have constructed the form $(*)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying Conditions (1, 2). Its restriction on $\mathfrak{h}$ is non degenerate due to Proposition 18.

The form $(*)$ also satisfies (3), since $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$. By the invariance property and 17(2) we infer

$$0 = ([h, x]|y) + (x|[h, y]) = (\langle \beta, h \rangle + \langle \gamma, h \rangle)(x|y).$$

For $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ we get

$([x, y] - (x|y)\nu^{-1}(\alpha)|h) = (x|[y, h]) - (x|y) \langle \alpha, h \rangle = 0$. Thus (5) follows from (2). From (2, 3, 5) it follows that the bilinear form $(*)$ is symmetric.

If (4) is not satisfied, then by (3) the form $(*)$ is degenerate. Put $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Ker}(*|*)$. This is ideal and by (2) we have $\mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{h} = 0$. But this contradicts to the definition of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$.

21. Note. Suppose that $A = (a_{k,j})$ is the symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix. Equation 17(1) is equivalent with the system of homogeneous linear equations and inequalities $d_j \neq 0$ so that $\text{diag}(d_1^{-1}, \dots, d_n^{-1})A = (b_{j,k})$ with $b_{j,k} = b_{k,j}$ for all j, k , since the octonion algebra $\mathbf{O} = \mathcal{A}_3$ is alternative. But in the generalized Cartan matrix all entries are integer, hence solutions can be chosen in the field $\mathbf{Q}$ of rational numbers. Thus we can choose its decomposition 17(1) with $d_j > 0$ so that d_j and $b_{j,k}$ are rational numbers.

We can suppose that A is indecomposable. In view of Proposition 16 for each $1 < j \leq n$ there exists a sequence $1 = j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{k-1} < j_k = j$ such that $a_{j_k, j_{k+1}} < 0$. Therefore, $a_{j_s, j_{s+1}} d_{j_{s+1}} = a_{j_{s+1}, j_s} d_{j_s}$ for each $s = 1, \dots, k-1$. Hence $d_j d_1 > 0$ for each j . Therefore, we can choose $d_j > 0$ for each j . If A is indecomposable, then the matrix $\text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is defined by 17(1) uniquely up to the multiplication on a constant. Now we fix a symmetric form satisfying Conditions 17(2 – 6) related with the decomposition 17(1). In accordance with Lemma 18 we get

$$(1) (\beta_j|\beta_j) > 0 \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, n;$$

$$(2) (\beta_j|\beta_k) \leq 0 \text{ for each } j \neq k;$$

(3) $\gamma_j = 2\nu^{-1}(\beta_j)/(\beta_j|\beta_j)$. Thus $a_{j,k} = 2(\beta_j|\beta_k)/(\beta_j|\beta_j)$ for each j, k . Then we take the extension of the form $(*)$ from $\mathfrak{h}$ onto $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ in accordance with Theorem 20. This form will be called the standard invariant form.

If choose for a root β dual bases $\{e_\beta^j\}$ and $\{e_{-\beta}^j\}$ in ${}_{\mathbf{0}}\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ and in ${}_{\mathbf{0}}\mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$ so that $(e_\beta^j|e_{-\beta}^k) = \delta_{j,k}$ for each $j, k = 1, \dots, \text{mult } \beta$, then for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$ the identity

$$(4) (x|y) = \sum_j (x|e_{-\beta}^j)(y|e_\beta^j) \text{ is satisfied.}$$

22. Lemma. If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ and $z \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, then in $\mathfrak{g}(A) \otimes \mathfrak{g}(A)$ the identity

$$(1) \sum_s e_{-\alpha}^s \otimes [z, e_\alpha^s] = \sum_s [e_{-\beta}^s, z] \otimes e_\beta^s \text{ is satisfied.}$$

Proof. We define the form $(*|*)$ satisfying 17(2–6) by the formula $(\ _kxi_k \otimes \ _jyi_j | \ _swi_s \otimes \ _tzi_t) = (-1)^{\zeta(k,j,s,t)} (\ _kxi_k | \ _swi_s) (\ _jyi_j | \ _tzi_t)$ for pure states and extend it by $\mathbf{R}$ bi-linearity on $\mathfrak{g}(A) \otimes \mathfrak{g}(A)$, where $\zeta(k,j,s,t) \in \{0,1\}$ is such that $(i_k i_j)(i_s i_t) = (-1)^{\zeta(k,j,s,t)} (i_k i_s)(i_j i_t)$. Then we take $e \in \ _0\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $f \in \ _0\mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_s (e_{-\alpha}^s \otimes [z, e_\alpha^s] | e \otimes f) = \sum_s (e_{-\alpha}^s | e) ([z, e_\alpha^s] | f) = \sum_s (e_{-\alpha}^s | e) (e_\alpha^s | [f, z]) = (e | [f, z]) \text{ also}$$

$$\sum_s ([e_{-\beta}^s, z] \otimes e_\beta^s | e \otimes f) = \sum_s (e_{-\beta}^s | [z, e]) (e_\beta^s | f) = ([z, e] | f)$$

by Theorem 20 and Formula 21(4). In view of 17(2) the last two formulas imply (1), since the octonion algebra is alternative.

23. Corollary. *Let conditions of Lemma 22 be satisfied, then*

- (1) $\sum_s [e_{-\alpha}^s, [z, e_\alpha^s]] = \sum_s [[e_{-\beta}^s, z], e_\beta^s]$ in $\mathfrak{g}(A)$;
- (2) $\sum_s e_{-\alpha}^s [z, e_\alpha^s] = \sum_s [e_{-\beta}^s, z] e_\beta^s$ in $U(\mathfrak{g}(A))$.

Proof. This follows from the application of mappings $\mathfrak{g}(A) \otimes \mathfrak{g}(A) \ni x \otimes y \mapsto [x, y] \in \mathfrak{g}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(A) \otimes \mathfrak{g}(A) \ni x \otimes y \mapsto xy \in U(\mathfrak{g}(A))$ to Formula 22(1).

24. Remark. Let $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ be a Lie algebra corresponding to a matrix A and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be its Cartan subalgebra, $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_\beta \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ be its decomposition into root subspaces relative to $\mathfrak{h}$. A $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ module (or $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ module) V is called bounded if for each $v \in V$ we have $\mathfrak{g}_\beta(v) = 0$ for all roots besides a finite number of positive roots β .

Introduce the functional $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}_r^*$ by the formula:

(1) $\langle \rho, \gamma_j \rangle = a_{jj}/2$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, where $\gamma_j \in \Upsilon^\vee$. If $\text{rank } A < n$, then this does not define ρ uniquely, so we can take any functional satisfying these relations. In accordance with Formulas 19(2,4) we get

(2) $(\rho | \beta_j) = (\beta_j | \beta_j)/2$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.

For each positive root β we choose a basis $\{e_\beta^j\}$ in the space $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ and take the dual basis $\{e_{-\beta}^j\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$ so that they belong to $\ _0\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ and $\ _0\mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$ correspondingly. Then we put

(3) $\Omega_0 = 2 \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \sum_j e_{-\beta}^j e_\beta^j$ and this operator does not depend on a choice of the dual basis. For each $v \in V$ only finite number of additives $e_{-\beta}^j e_\beta^j(v)$ is non zero, hence Ω_0 is defined correctly on V . Let $u_1, u_2, \dots$ and $u^1, u^2, \dots$ be dual bases of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ belonging to $\ _0\mathfrak{h}$. We define the generalized Casimir operator as

(4) $\Omega = 2\nu_r^{-1}(\rho) + \sum_j u^j u_j + \Omega_0$. Choose $\rho \in \ _0\mathfrak{h}_r^*$.

We consider now the decomposition into root subspaces for $U(\mathfrak{g}(A))$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}$:

$U(\mathfrak{g}(A)) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q} U_\beta$, where

$U_\beta = \{x \in U(\mathfrak{g}(A)) : [h, x] = \langle \beta, h \rangle x \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$

and put $U'_\beta = U(\mathfrak{g}'(A)) \cap U_\beta$ so that $U(\mathfrak{g}'(A)) = \bigoplus_\beta U'_\beta$.

25. Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ be a symmetrizable algebra over $\mathcal{A}_r$, $2 \leq r \leq 3$. If V is a bounded $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ module and $u \in U'_\beta$, then*

(1) $[\Omega_0, u] = -(2(\rho | \beta) + (\beta | \beta) + 2\nu_r^{-1}(\beta))u$.

If V is a bounded $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ module, then

(2) Ω commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ on V .

Proof. Since $\lambda = \sum_j \langle \lambda, u^j \rangle \nu_r(u_j) = \sum_j \langle \lambda, u_j \rangle \nu(u^j)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}(A)$, then

(3) $\sum_j \langle \lambda, u^j \rangle \langle u_j, \mu \rangle = (\lambda | \mu)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad & [\sum_j u^j u_j, x] = ((\beta|\beta) + 2\nu_r^{-1}(\beta))x \text{ for each } x \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta, \text{ since} \\
& [\sum_j u^j u_j, x] = \sum_j \langle \beta, u^j \rangle x u_j + \sum_j u^j \langle u_j, \beta \rangle x \\
& = \sum_j \langle \beta, u^j \rangle \langle u_j, \beta \rangle x + (\sum_j u^j \langle u_j, \beta \rangle + \langle \beta, u^j \rangle u_j)x.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus Statement (2) follows from (1) and Formula (4).

Take now elements e_{β_j} and $e_{-\beta_j}$ with $j = 1, \dots, n$, which generate the algebra $\mathfrak{g}'(A)$. If either $u = e_{\beta_j}$ or $u = e_{-\beta_j}$, then due to Lemmas 7 and 23 we infer:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Omega_0, e_{\beta_j}] &= 2 \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \sum_s ([e_{-\beta}^s, e_{\beta_j}] e_\beta^s + e_{-\beta}^s [e_\beta^s, e_{\beta_j}]) \\
&= 2[e_{-\beta_j}, e_{\beta_j}] e_{\beta_j} + 2 \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+ \setminus \{\beta_j\}} (\sum_s [e_{-\beta}^s, e_{\beta_j}] e_\beta^s + \sum_s e_{-\beta}^s [e_\beta^s, e_{\beta_j}]) \\
&= -2\nu_r^{-1}(\beta_j) e_{\beta_j} = -2(\beta_j|\beta_j) e_{\beta_j} - 2e_{\beta_j} \nu_r^{-1}(\beta_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously we have $[\Omega_0, e_{-\beta_j}] = 2e_{-\beta_j} [e_{\beta_j}, e_{-\beta_j}] = 2e_{-\beta_j} \nu_r^{-1}(\beta_j)$. Thus we have got (1) for $u = e_{\beta_j}$ and $u = e_{-\beta_j}$. If $u \in U'_\alpha$ and $v \in U'_\beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Omega_0, uv] &= [\Omega_0, u]v + u[\Omega_0, v] \\
&= -\{(2(\rho|\alpha) + (\alpha|\alpha) + 2\nu_r^{-1}(\alpha))u\}v - u\{(2(\rho|\beta) + (\beta|\beta) + 2\nu_r^{-1}(\beta))v\} \\
&= -((2(\rho|\alpha) + (\alpha|\alpha) + 2\nu_r^{-1}(\alpha) + 2(\alpha|\beta) + 2(\rho|\beta) + (\beta|\beta) + 2\nu_r^{-1}(\beta))uv \\
&= (2(\rho|\alpha + \beta) + (\alpha + \beta|\alpha + \beta) + 2\nu_r^{-1}(\alpha + \beta))uv,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha, \beta \in {}_0\mathfrak{h}^*$, $e_\beta \in {}_0\mathfrak{g}_\beta$, $\rho \in {}_0\mathfrak{h}_r^*$ and hence $\nu_r^{-1}(\rho) \in {}_0\mathfrak{h}$, while $\mathbf{R}$ is the center of the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$.

Thus (1) is proved in general also.

26. Corollary. *If suppositions of Theorem 25(2) are satisfied and there exists a vector $v \in V$ so that $e_j(v) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $h(v) = \langle b, h \rangle v$ for some $b \in \mathfrak{h}_r^*$ and all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, then*

$$(1) \quad \Omega(v) = (b + 2\rho|b)v.$$

Moreover, if $U(\mathfrak{g}(A))v = V$, then

$$(2) \quad \Omega = (b + 2\rho|b)I_V.$$

Proof. Formula (1) follows from the definition of Ω and Formula 25(3). Then Formula (2) follows from Formula (1) and Theorem 25.

27. Proposition. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an algebra over $\mathcal{A}_r$, $2 \leq r \leq 3$, with an invariant form $(*|*)$ satisfying Conditions 17(2–6), let also $\{x_j : j\}$ and $\{y_j : j\}$ be dual bases belonging to ${}_0\mathfrak{g}$, that is $(x_j|y_k) = \delta_{j,k}$ for each j, k . Suppose that V is an $\mathfrak{g}$ module so that for each pair of elements $u, v \in V$ either $x_j(u) = 0$ or $y_j(v) = 0$ for all j besides a finite number of j . Then the operator*

$$\Omega_2 := \sum_j x_j \otimes y_j$$

is defined on $V \otimes V$ and commutes with actions of all elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ on V .

Proof. Consider commutators $[z, x_j] = \sum_k c_{j,k} x_k$, $[z, y_j] = \sum_k p_{k,j} y_k$, where $c_{j,k}, p_{k,j} \in \mathcal{A}_r$. Taking the scalar products we deduce that $c_{j,k} = ([z, x_j]|y_k)$ and $p_{k,j} = ([z, y_j]|x_k)$. From the invariance of $(*|*)$ it follows that $c_{j,k} = (z|[x_j, y_k])$ and $p_{k,j} = (z|[y_j, x_k])$, consequently, $c_{j,k} = -p_{k,j}$ for all j, k and inevitably $\sum_j ([z, x_j] \otimes y_j + x_j \otimes [z, y_j]) = 0$, since $x_j, y_j \in {}_0\mathfrak{g}$ for each j .

28. Example. Consider the $n \times n$ zero matrix $A = 0$ with either $n \in \mathbf{N}$ or $n = \infty$. This means that $[e_j, e_k] = 0$, $[f_j, f_k] = 0$, $[e_j, f_k] = \delta_{j,k} \gamma_j$ for all $j, k = 1, \dots, n$, hence

$\mathfrak{g}(0) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_j \mathcal{A}_r e_j \oplus \sum_j \mathcal{A}_r f_j$. The center of $\mathfrak{g}(0)$ is $Z = \sum_j \mathbf{R} \gamma_j$. Moreover, $\dim_{\mathcal{A}_r} \mathfrak{h} = 2n$ and we can choose elements $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathfrak{h}$ so that

$\mathfrak{h} = \mathcal{A}_r \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} Z + \sum_j \mathcal{A}_r d_j$ and $[d_j, e_k] = \delta_{j,k} e_k$, $[d_j, f_k] = -\delta_{j,k} f_k$ for each $j, k = 1, \dots, n$. A non degenerate symmetric invariant form satisfying Conditions 17(2 – 6) is defined as $(e_j | f_j) = 1$, $(\gamma_j | d_j) = 1$ for each j and all others scalar products are zero. In the considered algebra $\rho = 0$ and the Casimir operator takes the form

$$\Omega = 2 \sum_j \gamma_j d_j + 2 \sum_j f_j e_j.$$

We put $q = \sum \mathcal{A}_r (\gamma_j - \gamma_k) \subset \mathcal{A}_r \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} Z$ and the algebra $H := \mathfrak{g}'(0)/q$ we call the Heisenberg algebra over the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ so that ${}_0 H = {}_0 \mathfrak{g}'(0)/{}_0 q$, $H = {}_0 H i_0 \oplus \dots \oplus {}_{2^r-1} H i_{2^r-1}$ with pairwise isomorphic real algebras ${}_0 H, \dots, {}_{2^r-1} H$, where $\mathfrak{g}' = {}_0 \mathfrak{g}' i_0 \oplus \dots \oplus {}_{2^r-1} \mathfrak{g}' i_{2^r-1}$.

3 Residues of octonion meromorphic functions

For subsequent proceedings we need residues of (super) differentiable functions of Cayley-Dickson variables. In this section they are studied in more generality and with new details as in previous papers.

1. Definitions.

Let $f : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ be a function, where V is a neighborhood of $z \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$, where $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$ is the one-point compactification of $\mathcal{A}_r$ with the help of the infinity point or as the non commutative analog of the 2^r dimensional Riemann sphere [17, 18]. Then f is said to be meromorphic at z if f has an isolated singularity at z and f is $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic in $V \setminus \{z\}$. If U is an open subset in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$, then f is called meromorphic in U if f is meromorphic at each point $z \in U$. If U is a domain of f and f is meromorphic in U , then f is called meromorphic on U .

This definition has the natural generalization. Let W be a closed connected subset in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$ and its codimension $\text{codim}(W) = 2^r - \dim(W) \geq 2$ and $W \cap (z + \widehat{\mathbf{R} \oplus M \mathbf{R}})$ is a set consisting of isolated points for each purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number $M \in \mathcal{A}_r$ with $|M| = 1$ and every $z \in W \cup \{0\}$, where $\dim(W)$ is the topological covering dimension of W (see §7.1 [5]). If there exists an open neighborhood V of W so that a function f is $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic in $V \setminus W$ and may have singularities at points of W , then f is called meromorphic at W . If U is an open subset in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$ and different subsets W_k in U are isolated from each other, that is $\inf\{|\zeta - \eta| : j = 1, 2, k-1, k+1, \dots; \zeta \in W_k, \eta \in W_j\} > 0$ for each marked k and f is meromorphic at each W_k and $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic in $U \setminus [\bigcup_k W_k]$ and $\bigcup_k W_k$ is closed in $\mathcal{A}_r$, then f is called meromorphic in U .

Mainly we shall consider meromorphic functions with point isolated singularities if another will not be specified and denote by $\mathbf{M}(U)$ the set of all meromorphic functions on U with singleton singularities $W = \{z\}$. Let f be meromorphic on a region U in the set $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$. A point

$$c \in \bigcap_{V \subset U, V \text{ is closed and bounded}} cl(f(U \setminus V))$$

is called a cluster value of f .

Let V be an open subset in $\mathcal{A}_r$. Define the residue of a meromorphic function f at W with a singularity at a point $a \in W \subset \mathcal{A}_r$ as

$$(i) \quad \text{Res}(a, f).M := (2\pi)^{-1} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{\gamma_y} f(z) dz \right)$$

whenever this limit exists,

$$\text{where } \gamma_y(t) = a + y\rho \exp(2\pi t M) \subset V \setminus W,$$

$\rho > 0$, $|M| = 1$, $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $0 < y \leq 1$, $\gamma := \gamma_1$ encompasses only one singular point a of f in the complex plane $(a + \mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R})$, $\mathcal{I}_r := \{z : z \in \mathcal{A}_r, \text{Re}(z) = 0\}$, $\text{Re}(z) := (z + \bar{z})/2$. Here as usually we suppose that a function

(R1) f is $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic on $V \setminus W$,

(R2) W is a closed connected subset in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$ of codimension not less than 2,

(R3) the intersection $W \cap (z + \widehat{\mathbf{R} \oplus N\mathbf{R}})$ consists of isolated points for each purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number $N \in \mathcal{I}_r$, $|N| = 1$, and every $z \in W \cup \{0\}$.

Extend $\text{Res}(a, f).M$ by Formula (i) on $\mathcal{I}_r$ as

$$(ii) \quad \text{Res}(a, f).M := [\text{Res}(a, f).(M/|M|)]|M|,$$

$\forall M \neq 0$; $\text{Res}(a, f).0 := 0$, when $\text{Res}(a, f).M$ is finite for each $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$, $|M| = 1$.

2. Definition. For a metric space X with a metric ρ let $\text{dist}(x, A) := \inf\{\rho(x, y) : y \in A\}$ denotes a distance from a point x to a subset A in X . Let z be a marked point in the Cayley-Dickson algebra and $\gamma(t) := z + R \exp(2\pi M t)$ be a circle with center at z of radius $0 < R < \infty$ in the plane $z + (\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R})$ embedded into $\mathcal{A}_r$, where $t \in [0, 1]$. Then γ encompasses z in the usual sense.

We say that a loop $\psi(t)$ in the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ encompasses the point z relative to γ , if there exists a continuous monotonously increasing function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ being piecewise continuously differentiable so that $|\psi(t) - \gamma(\phi(t))| < \min(|\psi(t) - z|, R)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

If $\mathcal{A}_m$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_r$, $m < r$, then there exists the projection $P_m : \mathcal{A}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$ as for real linear spaces.

3. Theorem. Let f be an $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic function on an open domain U in $\mathcal{A}_r$, $\infty \geq r \geq 2$. If $(\gamma + z_0)$ and ψ are presented as piecewise unions of paths $\gamma_j + z_0$ and ψ_j with respect to parameter $\theta \in [a_j, b_j]$ and $\theta \in [c_j, d_j]$ respectively with $a_j < b_j$ and $c_j < d_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\bigcup_j [a_j, b_j] = \bigcup_j [c_j, d_j] = [0, 1]$ homotopic relative to $U_j \setminus \{z_0\}$, where $U_j \setminus \{z_0\}$ is a $(2^r - 1)$ -connected open domain in the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ such that $\pi_{s,p,t}(U_j \setminus \{z_0\})$ is simply connected in $\mathbf{C}$ for each $s = i_{2k}$, $p = i_{2k+1}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{r-1} - 1$ ($\forall 0 \leq k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $P_m(U_j \setminus \{z_0\})$ is $(2^m - 1)$ -connected for each $4 \leq m \in \mathbf{N}$ if $r = \infty$), each $t \in \mathcal{A}_{r,s,p}$ and $u \in \mathbf{C}_{s,p}$ for which there exists $z = t + u \in \mathcal{A}_r$. If $(\gamma + z_0)$ and ψ are closed rectifiable paths (loops) in U such that $\gamma(\theta) = \rho \exp(2\pi \theta M)$ with $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and a marked

$M \in \mathcal{I}_r$, $|M| = 1$ and $0 < \rho < \infty$, also $z_0 \notin \psi$ and $M = [\int_\psi dLn(\zeta - z)]/(2\pi)$ and ψ encompasses z_0 relative to $\gamma + z_0$. Then

$$(1) \quad (2\pi)f(z)M = \int_\psi f(\zeta)dLn(\zeta - z)$$

for each $z \in U$ such that

$$|z - z_0| < \inf_{\zeta \in \psi([0,1])} |\zeta - z_0|.$$

If either $\mathcal{A}_r$ is alternative, that is, $r = 2, 3$, or $f(z) \in \mathbf{R}$ for each z , then

$$(3.11) \quad f(z) = (2\pi)^{-1} \left(\int_\psi f(\zeta)dLn(\zeta - z)^{-1} \right) M^*.$$

Proof. The logarithmic function is ζ -differentiable so that $dLn(\zeta - z) = [D_\zeta Ln(\zeta - z)].d\zeta$ for a marked z and the variable ζ with $\zeta \neq z$, consequently, the considered integrals exist. Take a Cayley-Dickson number z satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Put $\psi_y(\theta) := z + y(\psi(\theta) - z)$ and $\gamma_y(\theta) = y\rho \exp(2\pi\theta M)$ for each $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and every $0 < y \leq 1$. Up to a notation we can consider $\phi(\theta) = \theta$ for each θ denoting $\gamma \circ \phi$ for simplicity by γ . Then $|\psi_y(\theta) - (\gamma_y(\theta) + z)| < |\psi_y(\theta) - z|$ for each $0 < y \leq 1$.

Join paths $\gamma_y + z$ and ψ_y by a rectifiable path ω_y such that $z \notin \omega$, which is going in one direction and the opposite direction, denoted ω_y^- , such that $\omega_{y,j} \cup \psi_{y,j} \cup \gamma_{y,j} \cup \omega_{y,j+1}$ is homotopic to a point relative to $U_j \setminus \{z_0\}$ for suitable $\omega_{y,j}$ and $\omega_{y,j+1}$, where $\omega_{y,j}$ joins $\gamma_y(a_j) + z$ with $\psi_y(c_j)$ and $\omega_{y,j+1}$ joins $\psi_y(d_j)$ with $\gamma_y(b_j) + z$ such that z and $z_0 \notin \omega_j$ for each j .

Then the equality

$$\int_{\omega_{y,j}} f(\zeta)dLn(\zeta - z) = - \int_{\omega_{y,j}^-} f(\zeta)dLn(\zeta - z)$$

is accomplished for each j .

Mention that $[D_\zeta Ln(\zeta - z)].1 = (\zeta - z)^{-1}$ for each $\zeta \neq z$ and the function $f(\zeta)$ is $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic in U . The branching of the logarithmic function $Ln(\zeta - z)$ by the variable ζ with $\zeta \neq z$ for a marked Cayley-Dickson number z is independent from $|\zeta - z| > 0$ as shows its non-commutative Riemannian surface described in [17, 18, 21], since $Ln(y(\zeta - z)) = \ln y + Ln(\zeta - z)$ for each $y > 0$ and each $\zeta \neq z$, so that branches of $Ln(\zeta - z)$ are indexed by purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson numbers $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$. Consider now sub-domains $U_j \setminus \{z_0\}$ and loops there composed of fragments $\psi([c_j, d_j])$ and $\psi_y([c_j, d_j])$ and joining their corresponding ends rectifiable paths gone in a definite direction, as well as loops composed of fragments $\gamma([a_j, b_j]) + z$ and $\gamma_y([a_j, b_j]) + z$ and joining their respective ends rectifiable paths gone in a definite direction. Therefore, due to the homotopy Theorem 2.15 [17, 18] and the conditions of this theorem we infer, that

$$\int_\psi f(\zeta)dLn(\zeta - z) = \int_{\psi_y} f(\zeta)dLn(\zeta - z) \text{ and}$$

$$\int_{\gamma+z} f(\zeta) dLn(\zeta - z) = \int_{\gamma_y+z} f(\zeta) dLn(\zeta - z)$$

for each $0 < y \leq 1$.

Since $\gamma_y + z$ is a circle around z its radius $y\rho > 0$ can be chosen so small, that $f(\zeta) = f(z) + \alpha(\zeta, z)$, where α is a continuous function on U^2 such that the limit $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow z} \alpha(\zeta, z) = 0$ exists, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma_y+z} f(\zeta) dLn(\zeta - z) &= \\ \int_{\gamma_y+z} f(z) dLn(\zeta - z) + \delta(y\rho) &= 2\pi f(z)M + \delta(y\rho), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\delta(y\rho)| \leq \left| \int_{\gamma_y+z} \alpha(\zeta, z) dLn(\zeta - z) \right| \leq 2\pi \sup_{\zeta \in \gamma_y} |\alpha(\zeta, z)| C_1 \exp(C_2(y\rho)^m),$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants, $m = 2 + 2^r$ (see Inequality (2.7.4) [17, 18]), hence there exists $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0, y > 0} \delta(y\rho) = 0$. Analogous estimates are for ψ_y instead of $\gamma_y + z$.

We have that $M = [\int_{\psi_y} dLn(\zeta - z)]/(2\pi)$ and also $M = [\int_{\gamma_y+z} dLn(\zeta - z)]/(2\pi)$ for each $0 < y \leq 1$ due to conditions of this theorem, since $Ln(y(\zeta - z)) = \ln(y) + Ln(\zeta - z)$ for each $\zeta \neq z$ and y is the positive parameter independent from z , where $\ln$ is the standard natural logarithmic function for positive numbers.

On the other hand, $Ln(1 + z) = z - z^2/2 + z^3/3 - z^4/4 + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} z^n/n + \dots$ for each Cayley-Dickson number of absolute value $|z| < 1$ less than one, since each z can be written in the form $z = Re(z) + Im(z)$, where $Re(z) = (z + \tilde{z})/2$, $Im(z) = z - Re(z)$, $Im(z)$ is a purely imaginary number so that $(Im(z))^2 = -|Im(z)|^2$. The latter series uniformly converges in a ball of a given radius $0 < R < 1$ with the center at zero. Therefore, the winding numbers of ψ_y and $\gamma + z$ around z are the same for each $0 < y \leq 1$ and equal to $\int_{\gamma+z} dLn(\zeta - z)/(2\pi M)$. Taking the limit while $y > 0$ tends to zero yields the conclusion of this theorem, since

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma_y+z} f(\zeta) dLn(\zeta - z) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\psi_y} f(\zeta) dLn(\zeta - z).$$

If either $r = 2, 3$, or $f(z) \in \mathbf{R}$ for each z , then $((2\pi)f(z)M)M^* = 2\pi f(z)$.

4. Definitions. This definition 1 of the residue spreads also on loops ψ not necessarily in a definite plane like ψ in §§2 and 3. But then the purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number substitutes on the mean value of M computed with the help of the line integral for $dLn(z)$ along the loop ψ divided on $2\pi n$, that is $M = \int_{\psi} dLn(z)/(2\pi n)$, where $n \in \mathbf{N}$ is the winding number of the loop ψ . Due to §§3 we have that this operator Res at a marked point $a = z_0$ is the same for $\gamma + z_0$, $\gamma_y + z_0$, ψ and ψ_y loops for each $0 < y \leq 1$, when the loops $\gamma_y + z_0$ encompass only one singular point z_0 in the complex plane $(z_0 + \mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R})$ for $\gamma_y + z_0$, while ψ_y encompasses z_0 relative to $\gamma_y + z_0$ in the sense of §2.

For the infinite point $a = \infty$ the definitions of the index and the residue change so that take instead of a circle γ or a loop ψ it with the opposite orientation γ^- or ψ^- respectively. Thus taking the mapping $z \mapsto 1/z$ reduce the pole at the infinity into the pole at zero.

In addition in the residue's definition we take a sufficiently large radius $\rho > 0$ such that in the complex plane $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ for a marked purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number the circle γ^- with the center at zero encompasses only one singular point ∞ from W in the non-commutative analog $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$ of the Riemann sphere. We also consider circles γ_y^- with $y \geq 1$ and take the limit

$$(i) \quad \text{Res}(\infty, f).M := (2\pi)^{-1} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_y^-} f(z) dz$$

whenever it exists.

Mainly we shall consider residues at isolated singular points, when another will not be specified.

If f has an isolated singularity at $a \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$, then coefficients b_k of its Laurent series (see §3 [17, 18]) are independent from $\rho > 0$. The common series is called the a -Laurent series. If $a = \infty$, then $g(z) := f(z^{-1})$ has a 0-Laurent series c_k such that $c_{-k} = b_k$. Let

$$\beta := \sup_{b_k \neq 0} \eta(k),$$

where $\eta(k) = k_1 + \dots + k_m$, $m = m(k)$ for $a = \infty$;

$$\beta = \inf_{b_k \neq 0} \eta(k)$$

for $a \neq \infty$. We say that f has a removable singularity, pole, essential singularity at ∞ according as $\beta \leq 0$, $0 < \beta < \infty$, $\beta = +\infty$. In the second case β is called the order of the pole at ∞ . For a finite a the corresponding cases are: $\beta \geq 0$, $-\infty < \beta < 0$, $\beta = -\infty$. If f has a pole at a , then $|\beta|$ is called the order of the pole at a .

A value of a function

$$\partial_f(a) := \inf\{\eta(k) : b_k \neq 0\}$$

is called a divisor of f at $a \neq \infty$,

$$\partial_f(a) := \inf\{-\eta(k) : b_k \neq 0\}$$

for $a = \infty$, where $b_k \neq 0$ means that $b_{k,1} \neq 0, \dots, b_{k,m(k)} \neq 0$. Then

$$\partial_{f+g}(a) \geq \min\{\partial_f(a), \partial_g(a)\}$$

for each $a \in \text{dom}(f) \cap \text{dom}(g)$ and

$$\partial_{fg}(a) = \partial_f(a) + \partial_g(a).$$

For a function f meromorphic on an open subset U in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$ the function $\partial_f(p)$ by the variable $p \in U$ is called the divisor of f .

5. Example and Remark. Consider another example of a multi-valued locally analytic function. Let ξ be a Cayley-Dickson number and consider the power function $z = \xi^n$, where $2 \leq n \in \mathbf{N}$, n is a natural number. Each Cayley-Dickson number has the polar form $z = \rho \exp(2\pi\theta M)$, where $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$, M is a purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number of the

unit absolute value $|M| = 1$, $\rho := |z|$. For definiteness we can consider M of the form $M = M_1 i_1 + \dots + M_k i_k$ with $1 \leq k \leq 2^r - 1$, $M_1, \dots, M_k \in \mathbf{R}$, $M_k > 0$, that to exclude repeating caused by the identity $(-\theta)(-M) = \theta M$. For each marked M as above the function $\exp(2\pi\theta M)$ is periodic so that $\exp(2\pi(\theta + n))M = \exp(2\pi\theta M)$ for each integer number n , $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Therefore, the inverse function $\xi = z^{1/n}$ on $\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{0\}$ is multi-valued with n branches. Each branch is $\xi_j = \rho^{1/n} \exp(2\pi(\theta + (j-1))M/n)$, where $M = M(z)$, $b^{1/n} > 0$ denotes the positive value of the n -th root for each $b > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. When a loop, for example, a circle with the center at zero in the plane $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ is gone around 0 on 2π , then there is the transition from the j -th branch into $j+1$ for each $1 \leq j < n$, while the n -th branch transits into the first branch. That is, the point $z = 0$ is the branching point of the function $z^{1/n}$. Branches of this function are indexed by $j = 1, \dots, n$ and depend on purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson numbers $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$. Since $z^{1/n} = \exp[(Ln(z))/n]$, then $z^{1/n}$ is $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic on $\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{0\}$. This also follows from the inverse function theorem: if f is $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic on an open subset V and it has an inverse function f^{-1} , then its inverse function f^{-1} is also $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic [17, 18, 21].

The non-commutative Riemannian surface for it is constructed from n copies of the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ cut by the subset Q as in §3.7 [17, 18] such that they are embedded into $\mathcal{A}_r^2$. Each cut copy Y_j of $\mathcal{A}_r$ is slightly bend and each edge ${}_2Q_j$ is glued with ${}_1Q_{j+1}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ and ${}_2Q_n$ with ${}_1Q_1$ by straight rays with initially parallel directing vectors. Then for such non-commutative Riemannian 2^r -dimensional surface $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(z^{1/n})$ the function $z^{1/n} : (\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is already univalent.

Generally suppose that $f : (U \setminus W) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ is a $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic function on an open set $(U \setminus W)$ in the one-point compactification $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$ of the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$, so that the compactification is relative to the weak topology for $\text{card}(r) \geq \aleph_0$. We have $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r \setminus \mathcal{A}_r = \{\infty\}$. Here we consider W a closed connected subset of codimension at least 2, $\text{codim}(W) \geq 2$, in the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ such that $W \cap (z + \widehat{\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}})$ is a set consisting of isolated points for each purely imaginary $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$ and each $z \in W \cup \{0\}$, U is open in $\mathcal{A}_r$. We also suppose that f is multi-valued and each its branch is defined on $(U \setminus W)$.

If $z \in W$ and along each loop in $(z + \widehat{\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}})$ encompassing z and neither encompassing nor containing another points from W the complete circuit around the loop on 2π leads to a transition from the j -th branch of f into a definite branch $k(j)$ for each j , then we say that z is a branching point of the function f .

A non-commutative surface $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(f)$ composed from copies of Y_k properly embedded into a suitable space $\mathcal{A}_r^s$, $\text{card}(s) \geq 2$, and with suitable gluing of Y_j with $Y_{k(j)}$ by the corresponding edges so that $f : (U \setminus W) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ becomes univalent and $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic, then $\mathcal{R}$ is called the non-commutative Riemannian surface of f .

If f is such function, then for suitable U satisfying conditions of Theorem 3 with z_0 replaced on W , due to the monodromy Theorem 2.41 [21] and the homotopy Theorem 2.15 [17, 18] the branching of f depends only on $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$ and is independent from $|\zeta - z|$ for $z \in W$. This follows from the consideration of loops γ in planes $z + (\widehat{\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}})$ with $z \in W$

and $\gamma \subset ((U_j \setminus W) \cap [z + (\mathbf{R} \oplus \widehat{MR})])$ for each j .

Mention that generally conditions of Theorem 2.15 [17, 18] can not be replaced on local homotopies within each $U_j \setminus W$. This is caused by several reasons. At first, consider two circles γ_1 and γ_2 of radii $0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty$ with the center at a point z_0 in planes $z_0 + (\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R})$ and $z_0 + (\mathbf{R} \oplus N\mathbf{R})$, where $N = aM + bN_1$, $a, b \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $Re(MN_1) = 0$, $|M| = |N| = |N_1| = 1$, M and N and N_1 are purely imaginary marked Cayley-Dickson numbers. Let U and z_0 satisfy conditions of Theorem 3. Consider $\gamma_1(t) = z_0 + R_1 \exp(2\pi tM)$ and $\gamma_2^-(t) = z_0 + R_2 \exp(-2\pi tN)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Divide them on arcs respective to the partition $[(j-1)/n, j/n]$ with $4 \leq n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. Take the corresponding arcs of these circles and join their respective ends $\gamma_1(j/n)$ with $\gamma_2(j/n)$ for each j by segments w_j of straight lines. We get loops η_j from such segments and arcs of γ_1 and γ_2^- circuit in accordance with γ_1 and γ_2^- .

Now consider two circles γ_1 and γ_2 embedded into $z_0 + (\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R} \oplus N_1\mathbf{R})$. Take a new system of coordinates in the latter three dimensional manifold with the origin at z_0 . The axis e_1 take parallel to i_0 , the axis e_2 parallel to M and e_3 parallel to N_1 . Therefore, γ_2 is in a half-space above γ_1 and in the other half-space below γ_1 . Then join $\gamma_1(t)$ with $\gamma_2(t)$ by the segment of the straight line for each $t \in [0, 1)$. So we get a set L which is homeomorphic to the Möbius band, which is a non-oriented two dimensional surface. Suppose that $L \subset U \setminus W$.

There exists k for which w_k is gone twice in the same direction, because L is non-oriented. Then the sum of the integrals by all j -th loops η_j generally does not vanish for an $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic function f in $(U \setminus \{z_0\})$. Generally it has the rest of the type $2c \int_{w_k} f(z)dz$, where $c = 1$ or $c = -1$.

The surface Q cutting $\mathcal{A}_r$ has the unit codimension, $\text{codim}(Q) = 1$, consequently, the loops γ and $\psi - z_0$ from §3 both intersect Q , $Q \cap \gamma([0, 1]) \neq \emptyset$ and $Q \cap \psi([0, 1]) \neq \emptyset$. So the integral by the loop η_j may be different from zero for some j , when γ and ψ are not in one plane $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ and z_0 is a branching point of f .

If f , U , z_0 , ψ and $\gamma + z_0$ are as in Theorem 3 such that $\psi([0, 1])$ does not intersect $\gamma([0, 1]) + z_0$, $\psi([0, 1])$ is not contained in the plane $z_0 + (\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R})$, then from ψ and γ construct a loop η taking their arcs and joining their ends by rectifiable paths in U so that $\eta \subset U \setminus W$. Then there exists a purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number $N \in \mathcal{I}_r$ such that it is not contained in $M\mathbf{R}$ for which a projection of η on the plane $z_0 + (\mathbf{R} \oplus N\mathbf{R})$ encompasses the point z_0 . If f has a singularity at z_0 , which may be a branching point, then generally $\int_{\gamma+z_0} f(z)dz$ is different from $\int_{\psi} f(z)dz$, since a branching of f generally depends on all purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson numbers $N \in \mathcal{I}_r$, $|N| = 1$. This is easily seen on the examples of the functions $Ln(\zeta - z_0)$ and $(\zeta - z_0)^{1/n}$ for $2 \leq n \in \mathbf{N}$. Moreover, for the domain U satisfying conditions of Theorem 3 generally conditions of the monodromy Theorem 2.41 in [21] are not satisfied.

In the particular case of γ and ψ contained in the same plane $z_0 + (\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R})$ the above situation with $N_1 \perp M$ is already excluded. On the other hand, the branching of f is independent from $|\zeta - z_0|$ (see above). If there are not another singular points in U besides

z_0 and U satisfies conditions of Theorem 3, and when $\gamma + z_0$ and ψ are homotopic relative to $U \cap (z_0 + (\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}))$, then due to the homotopy Theorem 2.15 [17, 18] and since M is fixed we can conclude as in the complex case that $\int_{\gamma+z_0} f(z)dz = \int_{\psi} f(z)dz$.

Therefore, the conditions of the homotopy Theorem 2.15 [17, 18] are substantially stronger, than in the complex case.

6. Theorem. *Let U be an open region in the set $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$, $2 \leq r \leq \infty$, with n distinct marked points $p_1, \dots, p_n$, and let f be an $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic function on $U \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} =: U_0$ and ψ be a rectifiable closed curve lying in U_0 such that U_0 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3 for each $z_0 \in \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$. Then*

$$\int_{\psi} f(z)dz = 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Res}(p_j, f) \cdot \hat{In}(p_j, \psi)$$

and $\text{Res}(p_j, f) \cdot M$ is the $\mathbf{R}$ -homogeneous $\mathcal{I}_r$ -additive (of the variable M in $\mathcal{I}_r$) $\mathcal{A}_r$ -valued functional for each j .

Proof. In the considered case each singular point p_j is isolated, hence in the Definitions 1, 4 of the residue operator $\text{Res}(p_j, f)$ the limit by y can be omitted, since all integrals with different $0 < y \leq 1$ are equal, when γ_y are in the same complex plane (see §3 above and §3.9.3 [17, 18]).

For each p_j consider the principal part T_j of a Laurent series for f in a neighborhood of p_j , that is,

$$T_j(z) = \sum_{k, \eta(k) < 0} \{(b_k, (z - p_j)^k)\}_{q(m(k) + \eta(k))},$$

where $\eta(k) = k_1 + \dots + k_n$ for $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ (see Theorem 3.21 [17, 18]). Therefore,

$$h(z) := f(z) - \sum_j T_j(z)$$

is a function having an $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic extension on U . In view of Theorem 3 for an $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic function g in a neighborhood V of a point p and a rectifiable closed curve ζ we have

$$g(p) \hat{In}(p, \zeta) = (2\pi)^{-1} \left(\int_{\zeta} g(z) (z - p)^{-1} dz \right)$$

(see §3.22 [17, 18]). Let loops γ and each ζ_j be lying in planes each of which is parallel to the complex plane $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ with a marked purely imaginary M so that M and ψ satisfy conditions of Theorem 3.

We may consider small loops ζ_j around each p_j with $\hat{In}(p_j, \zeta_j) = \hat{In}(p_j, \gamma)$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then we infer that

$$\int_{\zeta_j} f(z)dz = \int_{\zeta_j} T_j(z)dz$$

for each j . Representing U_0 as a finite union of open regions U_j and joining ζ_j with γ by paths ω_j going in one and the opposite direction as in Theorem 3 we get

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz + \sum_j \int_{\zeta_j^-} f(z)dz = 0,$$

consequently,

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \sum_j \int_{\zeta_j} f(z)dz = \sum_j 2\pi \text{Res}(p_j, f) \hat{I}n(p_j, \gamma),$$

where $\hat{I}n(p_j, \gamma)$ and $\text{Res}(p_j, f)$ are invariant relative to homotopies satisfying conditions of Theorem 3 within a given complex plane.

Since the integral $\int_{\zeta_j} g(z)dLn(z - p_j)$ is $\mathbf{R}$ -homogeneous and $\mathcal{I}_r$ -additive relative to a directing vector $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$ of a loop ζ_j , then $\text{Res}(p_j, f)M$ defined by Formulas 1(i, ii), 4(i) is $\mathbf{R}$ -homogeneous $\mathcal{I}_r$ -additive of the argument M in $\mathcal{I}_r$.

7. Theorem. *Let f be a meromorphic function in V (see §1), so that $f(\zeta) = g(\zeta)[1/v(\zeta)]$ and $1/f(\zeta) = v(\zeta)[1/g(\zeta)]$ for each $\zeta \in V$, where g and v are two $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic functions on an open set V , g has not zeros in V , $v^{-1}(0) = W$, $W \subset V$, $2 \leq r < \infty$, $\text{codim}(W) \geq 2$, then $\text{Res}(z, f)$ is an operator continuously depending on $z \in W$.*

Proof. The set W is closed and connected in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$ and the intersection $W \cap (z + \widehat{\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}})$ consists of isolated points for each $z \in W \cup \{0\}$ and purely imaginary $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$. Therefore, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r \setminus W$ is open in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$. Since v is continuous and $W = v^{-1}(0)$ and g has not zeros in V , then each point z in W is singular for f . Making a shift $z \mapsto z + z_0$ we can consider that 0 and ∞ do not belong simultaneously to W . To treat a singularity at ∞ we can use the transformation $z \mapsto 1/z$ under which we can consider instead a singularity at zero. So we can suppose without loss of generality that each $z \in W$ is a finite singular point.

We can take a neighborhood U of z satisfying conditions of Theorem 3 and choose the family $\gamma_y + z$ of loops in U encompassing only one singular point z of f in the complex plane $z + \mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ for each $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$ with $|M| = 1$ and each $0 < y \leq 1$ and every $z \in W \cap U$. The integral

$$\int_{\gamma_y + z} f(\zeta)d\zeta = \int_{\gamma_y} f(\zeta + z)d\zeta$$

is the continuous functional relative to f and z , since f is holomorphic in $U \setminus W$, $\gamma_y([0, 1]) + z \subset U \setminus W$ for each $z \in W \cap U$ and every $0 < y \leq 1$.

If $z_1 \in W$ is another either singular point different from z or any other point $z_1 \in U \setminus \{z\}$, then $|z - z_1| > 0$. Choose $0 < y < |z - z_1|/\rho$, where $0 < \rho < \infty$ is a radius of the circle γ , then $\gamma_y + z$ does not encompass z_1 in the entire U as well. Since $2\pi \text{Res}(z, f).M = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma_y + z} f(z)dz$, when M is fixed, hence $\text{Res}(z, f).M$ may depend on z and the residue $\text{Res}(z, f).M$ is independent from each z_1 not equal to z , $z_1 \neq z$.

The functions g and v being $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic are locally ζ -analytic in V and for each $z \in W$ there exists a ball with center at z of some radius $0 < \delta < \infty$ such that g and v have non-commutative power series expansions in it. Since $g(\zeta) \neq 0$ for each $\zeta \in V$, then $g(z) \neq 0$ and $v(z) = 0$ for each $z \in W$, consequently, $f(z) = \infty$ and $1/f(z) = 0$, and inevitably $1/f(\zeta) = v(\zeta)[1/g(\zeta)]$ is $\mathcal{A}_r$ holomorphic in V and hence in a neighborhood V_z of $z \in W$, $V_z \subset V$. Thus the function $1/f(\zeta)$ has the power series expansion in a ball with the center at $z \in W$ of some radius $0 < \epsilon < \infty$. Let its expansion coefficients be $A_m = (a_{m,m_1}, \dots, a_{m,m_k})$,

$a_{m,j} \in \mathcal{A}_r$ for each m, j , $m = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$, $m_j \geq 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, k$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$ so that

$$(1) \quad 1/f(\zeta) = \sum_m \{A_m, (\zeta - z)^m\}_{q(m)},$$

where $\{A_m, z^m\}_{q(m)} := \{a_{m,m_1} z^{m_1} \dots a_{m,m_k} z^{m_k}\}_{q(m)}$, $q(m)$ is the vector indicating on an order of the multiplication. Let us seek the function f in the form:

$$(2) \quad f(\zeta) = \sum_p \{B_p, (\zeta - z)^p\}_{q(p)},$$

where $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$, $k = k(p) \in \mathbf{N}$, $p_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ for each j , for each p either all $p_j \geq 0$ or all $p_j \leq 0$ simultaneously. Put $\eta(m) := m_1 + \dots + m_k$, where $k = k(m)$, denote

$$Q_n(\xi) := \sum_{\eta(p)=n} \{B_p, \xi^p\}_{q(p)} \text{ and}$$

$$P_n(\xi) := \sum_{\eta(m)=n} \{A_m, \xi^m\}_{q(m)}$$

homogeneous terms so that $Q_n(t\xi) = t^n Q_n(\xi)$ and $P_n(t\xi) = t^n P_n(\xi)$ for each $0 \neq t \in \mathbf{R}$ and every $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{0\}$. When $n > 0$, then we have $P_n(0) = 0$ and $Q_n(0) = 0$. On the other hand, for a negative number n the term $Q_n(1/\xi)$ is defined for each finite Cayley-Dickson number ξ .

For the function $1/f$ we have $\eta(m) = n \geq 1$, since $1/f$ is holomorphic and $1/f(z) = 0$. If each $P_n(\xi)$ would be an identically zero polynomial, then $1/f$ would be identically zero, that is not the case. Thus there exists the maximal $\alpha > 0$ for which $P_\alpha(\xi)$ is the nontrivial polynomial, while $P_n(\xi)$ is identically zero for each $n < \alpha$. That is α is the order of zero z of the function $1/f$.

We have the equation

$$(3) \quad f(\zeta)[1/f(\zeta)] = 1$$

identically in the set $B(\mathcal{A}_r, z, \epsilon) \setminus W$ and by the continuity this equation extends on the entire ball. Since

$$(4) \quad Q_{n_1}(t\xi)P_{n_2}(t\xi) = t^{n_1+n_2}Q_{n_1}(\xi)P_{n_2}(\xi)$$

for each $0 \neq t \in \mathbf{R}$ and every $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{0\}$, then we infer the inequality $n_1 \geq -\alpha$. In view of (4) Equation (3) gives the system of equations

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n_1+n_2=l} Q_{n_1}(\xi)P_{n_2}(\xi) = \delta_{l,0}$$

for each $0 < |\xi| < \epsilon$, where $0 \leq l \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\delta_{i,j} = 0$ for each $i \neq j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\delta_{j,j} = 1$ for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, consequently, $\alpha \leq n_2 \leq l + \alpha$ in each l -th equation (5).

The Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ has the finite dimension 2^r over the real field $\mathbf{R}$, hence for each n the number of different $\mathbf{R}$ -linearly independent terms $\{B_p, \xi^p\}_{q(p)}$ with $\eta(p) = n$ is finite, as well as a number of different $\mathbf{R}$ -linearly independent terms $\{A_m, \xi^m\}_{q(m)}$ is finite for

$\eta(m) = n$. Thus each term Q_n and P_n is a finite sum and a number of expansion coefficients in them is finite.

Now using the multiplication table in the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$, where $2 \leq r < \infty$, and exploiting the decomposition $w = {}_0w i_0 + \dots + {}_{2^r-1}w i_{2^r-1}$ for each $w \in \mathcal{A}_r$, where ${}_0w, \dots, {}_{2^r-1}w \in \mathbf{R}$, it is possible by induction on $l = 0, 1, \dots$ resolve the system (5) relative to B_p through A_m . The procedure is the following. For $l = 0$ we get the power relative to ${}_0\xi, \dots, {}_{2^r-1}\xi$ Equation (5) expressing vectors B_p with $\eta(p) = -\alpha$ through vectors A_m with $\eta(m) = \alpha$. For $l = 1$ Equation (5) together with the previous equation expresses vectors B_p with $\eta(p) = -\alpha + 1$ through vectors A_m with $\eta(m) \in \{\alpha, \alpha + 1\}$. By induction equations (5) with $l = 0, \dots, \beta$ express vectors B_p with $\eta(p) = \beta$ through vectors A_m with $\eta(m) \in \{\alpha, \dots, \alpha + \beta\}$. Thus each B_p is a continuous function of a finite number of $A_m = A_m(z)$. At the same time each vector $A_m(\xi)$ is a continuous function of ξ in $B(\mathcal{A}_r, z, \epsilon/2)$, since the function $1/f(\zeta - z)$ is $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic by $\zeta, z \in \mathcal{A}_r$ with $\zeta - z \in V$, where V is open in the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ by the conditions of this Theorem. Consequently, each $B_p(\xi)$ is a continuous functions of ξ in the ball $B(\mathcal{A}_r, z, \epsilon/2)$.

Evidently we have

$$\int_{\gamma_y} \{B_p, (\zeta - z)^p\}_{q(p)} d\zeta = 0$$

for each $\eta(p) \neq -1$ and each $0 < y \leq 1$, so that z here is equal to a in the Definition of the residue in §1, 4. Therefore, the limit

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \operatorname{Res}(z, f).M &:= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma_y} f(\zeta) d\zeta \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \sum_{p, \eta(p) = -1} \int_{\gamma_y} \{B_p, (\zeta - z)^p\}_{q(p)} d\zeta \\ &= \sum_{p, \eta(p) = -1} \int_{\gamma} \{B_p, (\zeta - z)^p\}_{q(p)} d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

exists, since $\int_{\gamma_y}$ is the additive functional by integrands and it is continuous on the space of bounded continuous functions (see Theorem 2.7 [17, 18]) and due to the homotopy of γ_y with γ in the same complex plane $z + \mathbf{R} \oplus \widehat{M\mathbf{R}}$ relative to $(V \setminus W) \cap (z + \mathbf{R} \oplus \widehat{M\mathbf{R}})$ (see §3 above and 3.9.3 [17, 18]).

This implies that $\operatorname{Res}(z, f)$ is completely defined by the power series expansion of $f(z)$, namely by vector coefficients B_p with $\eta(p) = -1$ only. The family of coefficients with $\eta(p) = -1$ is finite for a finite $2 \leq r < \infty$. Therefore, we get that $\operatorname{Res}(z, f).M$ is the continuous operator-valued function by the variable $z \in W$.

8. Corollary. *Let U be an open region in the set $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$, $2 \leq r \leq \infty$, with n distinct points $p_1, \dots, p_n$, let also f be an $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic function on $U \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} =: U_0$, $p_n = \infty$, and U_0 satisfies conditions of Theorem 3 with at least one ψ , γ and each $z_0 \in \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$. Then*

$$\sum_{p_j \in U} \operatorname{Res}(p_j, f)M = 0.$$

Proof. If γ is a closed curve encompassing points $p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$, then $\gamma^-(t) := \gamma(1-t)$, where $t \in [0, 1]$, encompasses $p_n = \infty$ with positive going by γ^- relative to p_n . Since

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz + \int_{\gamma^-} f(z)dz = 0,$$

we get from Theorem 6, that

$$\sum_{p_j \in U} \text{Res}(p_j, f)M = 0$$

for each $M \in \mathcal{I}_r$, hence

$$\sum_{p_j \in U} \text{Res}(p_j, f)M = 0$$

is the zero $\mathbf{R}$ -homogeneous $\mathcal{I}_r$ -additive $\mathcal{A}_r$ -valued functional on $\mathcal{I}_r$.

9. Remark. If a rectifiable loop ψ is as in §1, then there exists a sequence ψ_p of rectifiable loops composed of arcs of circles centered at z_0 and segments of straight lines such that straight lines contain z_0 , so that ψ_p converges uniformly to ψ with p tending to the infinity. Therefore, if f is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ differentiable function in an open set U so that $\psi \subset U$ and $\psi_p \subset U$ for each p , then

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\psi_p} f(z)dz = \int_{\psi} f(z)dz.$$

There are useful Moufang identities in the octonion algebra:

$$(M1) \quad (xyx)z = x(y(xz)),$$

$$(M2) \quad z(xy) = ((zx)y)x,$$

$$(M3) \quad (xy)(zx) = x(yz)x \text{ for each } x, y, z \in \mathbf{O}$$

(see page 120 in [9]). For calculations it is also worth that $a\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}a = |a|^2$ and the real valued scalar product has the symmetry properties $\text{Re}(a\tilde{b}) = \text{Re}(\tilde{b}a) = \text{Re}(\tilde{a}b) = \text{Re}(b\tilde{a})$, where $a^* = \tilde{a}$ denotes the conjugated Cayley-Dickson number.

Mention also that $(de^z/dz).h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} z^j h z^{n-j-1}/n!$ for all $z, h \in \mathcal{A}_3 = \mathbf{O}$, hence generally $(de^z/dz).h$ is not simply $e^z h$ besides the case of $\text{Im}(h) \in \text{Im}(z)\mathbf{R}$, where $\text{Im}(z) = z - \text{Re}(z)$ and the order of the multiplication in each additive of the series corresponds to the order of multiplication from right to left (right order of brackets). Due to the Moufang identities an order of multiplications either left or right or $((z^j)h)(z^{n-j-1})$ or $(z^j)(h(z^{n-j-1}))$ gives the same result.

The inverse operator $(de^z/dz)^{-1}$ to de^z/dz provides $d\text{Ln}(y)/dy$ for $y = e^z$. The operator de^z/dz is $\mathcal{A}_r$ additive and $\mathbf{R}$ homogeneous, hence its inverse operator is such also. Particularly, if $\text{Im}(h) \in \text{Im}(y)\mathbf{R}$, where $y \neq 0$, then $(d\text{Ln}(y)/dy).h = y^{-1}h$. Therefore, $\int_{\gamma_k} d\text{Ln}(y) = \int_{\gamma_k} y^{-1}dy$ for each γ_k either arc of a circle with the center at zero or a segment of a straight line such that the straight line contains 0, consequently, $\int_{\psi_p - z_0} d\text{Ln}(y) = \int_{\psi_p - z_0} y^{-1}dy$ for each p (see also §12).

Thus due to Definition 1 we deduce that Theorems 3 and 7, Corollary 8 spread on more general rectifiable loops ψ , but then M in formulas there substitutes on the mean value of M computed as

(1) $M = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\psi_p - z_0} dLn(z)/(2\pi j) = \int_{\psi - z_0} dLn(z)/(2\pi j)$, where $j \in \mathbf{N}$ is the winding number of the loop ψ (see also Definitions 1, 2 and Theorem 3). This formula for M is essential, since generally the logarithmic function over the Cayley-Dickson algebra has more complicated non-commutative Riemannian surface, than in the commutative complex case. If a, b, c and e are constants in $\mathcal{A}_r$ so that $\{abMce\}_{q_1(5)} = \{abce\tilde{M}\}_{q_2(5)}$, then

$$(2) \int_{\psi} d\{abLn(z)ce\}_{q_1(5)}/(2\pi n) = \{abce\tilde{M}\}_{q_2(5)},$$

where vectors $q_1(5)$ and $q_2(5)$ prescribe an order of the multiplication in brackets, $\tilde{M} = -M$ for purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number M . On the other hand, if f is a (super) differentiable function in a neighborhood of γ , then $\int_{\gamma} \{abf(z)ce\}_{q_1(5)} dz = \{ab(\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz)ce\}_{q_1(5)}$ due to the definition of the line integral [17, 18]. If N and M are two orthogonal purely imaginary octonions, $Re(M\tilde{N}) = 0$, also $Im(z) \in M\mathbf{R}$, then $N(zN^*) = (Nz)N^* = \tilde{z}$.

Therefore, if for constants $a_1, \dots, b_{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}_r$ the identity

(3) $\{a_1 z^{n_1} \dots a_k z^{n_k} a_{k+1}\}_{q_1(2k+1)} = \{b_1 \dots b_{k+1} (z^s \tilde{z}^m)\}_{q_2(k+2)}$ is satisfied in an open neighborhood of a rectifiable loop ψ for $z_0 = 0$ and with the winding number j , where $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $n_1, \dots, n_k, s, m \in \mathbf{Z}$, then

(4) $(2\pi j)^{-1} \int_{\psi} \{a_1 z^{n_1} \dots a_k z^{n_k} a_{k+1}\}_{q_1(2k+1)} dz = \{b_1 \dots b_{k+1} M\}_{q_2(k+2)}$ for $m - s = 1$ with $n = -1$;

(5) $(2\pi j)^{-1} \int_{\psi} \{a_1 z^{n_1} \dots a_k z^{n_k} a_{k+1}\}_{q_1(2k+1)} dz = \{b_1 \dots b_{k+1} \tilde{M}\}_{q_2(k+2)}$ for $s - m = 1$ with $n = -1$;

(6) $(2\pi j)^{-1} \int_{\psi} \{a_1 z^{n_1} \dots a_k z^{n_k} a_{k+1}\}_{q_1(2k+1)} dz = 0$ for either $|m - s| \neq 1$ or $n \neq -1$, where $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$. Since $s + m = n$, then Case (4) implies $m = 0$ and $s = -1$, Case (5) implies $s = 0$ and $m = -1$.

10. Definitions. Let f be an $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic function, $2 \leq r \leq \infty$, on a neighborhood V of a point $z \in \mathcal{A}_r$. Then the infimum:

$$\eta(z; f) := \inf\{k : k \in \mathbf{N}, f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0\}$$

is called a multiplicity of f at z . Let f be an $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic function on an open subset U in the set $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$, $2 \leq r \leq \infty$. Suppose $w \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$, then the valence $\nu_f(w)$ of the function f at w is by the definition

$$\nu_f(w) := \infty, \text{ when the set } \{z : f(z) = w\} \text{ is infinite,}$$

and otherwise

$$\nu_f(w) := \sum_{z, f(z)=w} \eta(z; f).$$

11. Theorem. Let γ and ψ be two rectifiable paths in $\mathcal{A}_r$ contained in open sets U_{γ} and U_{ψ} respectively and let a diffeomorphism $\xi : U_{\psi} \rightarrow U_{\gamma}$ exists with (super) differentiable ξ and ξ^{-1} such that $\xi(\psi([0, 1])) = \gamma([0, 1])$. If f is a continuous $\mathcal{A}_r$ valued function on U_{γ} , then

$$(1) \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\psi} f(\xi(y)) \cdot (\xi'(y) dy).$$

Proof. For f in a canonical closed bounded neighborhood V of γ so that $V \subset U$ take a sequence of (super) differentiable functions f_n converging uniformly on V to f with the

corresponding phrases η_n fixing a z -representation of f_n and of f (see §2 in [17, 18]). Then the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ exists (see Theorem 2.7 [17, 18]). Therefore, it is sufficient to prove this theorem for f_n or in the case, when f is (super) differentiable.

In accordance with Proposition 2.6 [17, 18] take a function $g(z)$ and its phrase ν given by either left or right algorithm so that $g'(z).1 = f(z)$ and $\nu'(z).1 = \eta(z)$ for each $z \in V$, where $g'(z) = dg(z)/dz$, $\eta(z)$ is the phrase of f . This specifies the branch of the non commutative line integral

$$(2) \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \lim_{\delta(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (dg(z)/dz)|_{z=z_j} \cdot \Delta_j z,$$

where P denotes a partition of $[0, 1]$ with points $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = 1$ and $\tau_j \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$ for each $j = 1, \dots, m$, $\Delta_j z := \gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t_{j-1})$, $z_j := \gamma(\tau_j)$, $\delta(P) := \max_{j=1, \dots, m} (t_j - t_{j-1})$.

Since by the chain rule $(dg(\xi(y))/dy).h = (dg(z)/dz)|_{z=\xi(y)} \cdot ((d\xi(y)/dy).h)$ for each $y \in U_{\psi}$ and $h \in \mathcal{A}_r$ (see also §2 [17, 18]), then

$$(dg(z)/dz)|_{z=\xi(y_j)} \cdot ((d\xi(y)/dy). \Delta_j y) = (dg(z)/dz)|_{z=z_j} \cdot \Delta_j z + o(\Delta_j z),$$

where $y_j = \psi(\tau_j)$, $\Delta_j y = \psi(t_j) - \psi(t_{j-1})$, $z_j = \xi(y_j)$, $\Delta_j z = \xi(\psi(t_j)) - \xi(\psi(t_{j-1}))$. Therefore,

$$(3) \lim_{\delta(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (dg(z)/dz)|_{z=z_j} \cdot \Delta_j z = \lim_{\delta(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (dg(z)/dz)|_{z=\xi(y_j)} \cdot (\xi'(y_j) \cdot \Delta_j y),$$

since paths γ and ψ are rectifiable. Thus Formula (1) follows from (2, 3).

12. Remark. Mention that $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ is independent from parametrization of γ in the following sense. Two paths $\gamma_1 : [a_1, b_1] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ and $\gamma_2 : [a_2, b_2] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ are called equivalent, $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$, if there exists a continuous monotonously increasing function $\phi : [a_1, b_1] \rightarrow [a_2, b_2]$ so that $\gamma_1(t) = \gamma_2(\phi(t))$ for each $t \in [a_1, b_1]$, where $a_1 < b_1$, $a_2 < b_2$. This relation is reflexive ($\gamma \sim \gamma$), symmetric (if $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$, then $\gamma_2 \sim \gamma_1$), transitive (if $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ and $\gamma_2 \sim \gamma_3$, then $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_3$). A class of equivalent paths is called a curve.

If $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ are two rectifiable paths, then the mapping ϕ gives the bijective correspondence between partitions of γ_1 and γ_2 and between the corresponding integral sums. From the definition of the non commutative line integral it follows, that if $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$, then $\int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz$ for a continuous function f . Thus the non commutative line integral depends on curves.

13. Theorem. *If γ is a rectifiable path $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ in the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ and $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ is a continuous function, where U is an open subset in $\mathcal{A}_r$ and $\gamma \subset U$, $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, then the non commutative line integral reduces to the non commutative Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral:*

$$(1) \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = (L - S) \int_a^b \hat{f}(\gamma(t)). d\gamma(t).$$

Moreover, if $\gamma(t)$ is absolutely continuous, then the non commutative line integral reduces to the non commutative Lebesgue integral:

$$(2) \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = (L) \int_a^b \hat{f}(\gamma(t)). \gamma'(t) dt.$$

Proof. Recall that a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ is with finite variation, if there exists $C > 0$ so that

$$V_a^b := \sup \sum_{j=1}^m |f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})| \leq C,$$

where the supremum is taken by all finite partitions of the segment $[a, b]$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $V_a^b(f)$ is called the variation of f on $[a, b]$. This implies that each f_j is also with the finite variation, where $f(t) = {}_0 f(t) i_0 + \dots + {}_{2^r-1} f(t) i_{2^r-1}$, ${}_j f(t) \in \mathbf{R}$ for each $j = 0, \dots, 2^r - 1$ and every

$t \in [a, b]$.

A path $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ is called rectifiable, if it is continuous and of finite variation.

A function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ is called absolutely continuous, if for each $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that for each system of pairwise disjoint intervals (a_j, b_j) in $[a, b]$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, the inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |f(b_j) - f(a_j)| < \epsilon$$

is satisfied. A continuous function is called singular if its derivative is almost everywhere relative to the Lebesgue measure equal to zero.

In view of §VI.4 [12] each function f of finite variation can be presented as $f = H + \psi + \chi$, where H is the jump function, ψ is the absolutely continuous function and χ is the singular function. For a rectifiable path γ we get $H = 0$. By Theorem VI.4.2 [12] the function $F(x) = (L) \int_a^x p(t)dt$ of a Lebesgue integrable function $p(t)$ on the segment $[a, b]$ is absolutely continuous, where $(L) \int_a^b p(t)dt$ is the Lebesgue integral. In view of the Lebesgue Theorem IV.4.3 [12] the derivative $p' = P$ of an absolutely continuous function p on the segment $[a, b]$ is Lebesgue integrable on it and

$$(L) \int_a^x p(t)dt = P(x) - P(a)$$

for each $a \leq x \leq b$. Thus γ is almost everywhere on $[a, b]$ differentiable.

The operator $\hat{f}$ is defined for each (super) differentiable function with the help of either the left or the right algorithm, where $\hat{f}.h := g'(z).h$ for each $z \in V$, $h \in \mathcal{A}_r$. Moreover, $\hat{f}$ is (super) differentiable, if f is such. Then we use the continuous extension of the continuous functional $\int_\gamma$ on the space of continuous functions on V specifying the branch of the integral and get the operator $\hat{f}$ for a chosen sequence of (super) differentiable functions f_n and their phrases η_n converging uniformly on V to f (see [17, 18] and §11 above).

Each $\mathcal{A}_r$ additive $\mathbf{R}$ homogeneous operator A on $\mathcal{A}_r$ can be written in the form:

$$A.h = \sum_{k=0}^{2^r-1} A.[{}_k h i_k] = \sum_{j,k=0}^{2^r-1} {}_{j,k} A.[{}_k h] i_j,$$

where each ${}_{j,k} A$ is a linear functional on $\mathbf{R}$ for all j, k . The analogous decomposition is for an operator $\mathcal{A}_r$ additive $\mathbf{R}$ homogeneous valued function $A(t).h$, $t \in [a, b]$. If each ${}_{j,k} A(t)$ function is Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrable on $[a, b]$ relative to each function ${}_k P$ of bounded variation, then there is defined the non commutative Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral

$(L - S) \int_a^b A(t).dP = \sum_{j,k} [\int_a^b {}_{j,k} A(t).d_k P(t)] i_j$. On the the other hand, if $v(t) = {}_0 v i_0 + \dots + {}_{2^r-1} v i_{2^r-1}$ is a function so that each ${}_j v(t)$ is Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$, then there is defined the non commutative Lebesgue integral

$$(L) \int_a^b v(t)dt = \sum_{j=0}^{2^r-1} \int_a^b {}_j v(t)dt.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_\gamma f(z)dz = (L - S) \int_a^b \hat{f}(\gamma(t)).d\gamma(t),$$

where $\hat{f}$ is an $\mathcal{A}_r$ additive $\mathbf{R}$ homogeneous operator corresponding to f , $(L - S) \int_a^b \hat{f}(\gamma(t)).d\gamma(t)$ denotes the non commutative Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral. If $\gamma(t)$ is absolutely continuous, then the non commutative Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral reduces to the non commutative Lebesgue integral

$$(L - S) \int_a^b \hat{f}(\gamma(t)).d\gamma(t) = (L) \int_a^b \hat{f}(\gamma(t)).\gamma'(t)dt, \text{ since}$$

$(L - S) \int_a^b {}_{j,k}A(t) d_k \gamma(t) = (L) \int_a^b {}_{j,k}A(t) {}_k \gamma'(t) dt$
for each j, k (see also §VI.6.2 [12]).

14. Particular cases of integrals and residues.

For convenience we can choose the parametrization of the curve so that its path $\gamma : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ has $[\alpha, \beta] = [0, 1]$ if another is not specified, where $\gamma(0) = a$, $\gamma(1) = b$ are Cayley-Dickson numbers.

While calculation of line integrals of $\mathcal{A}_r$ differentiable functions $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$, where U is a domain in $\mathcal{A}_r$ satisfying conditions of the homotopy Theorem 2.15 [17, 18], for a rectifiable path γ in U contained in a complex plane $\widehat{\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}}$ with a marked purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number M , $|M| = 1$, it is possible to make simplifications in algorithms. For this choose purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson numbers $N_1, \dots, N_{2^r-1}$ being generators in $\mathcal{A}_r$, $2 \leq r \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $N_1 = M$, $|N_1| = 1, \dots, |N_{2^r-1}| = 1$, $N_j \perp N_k$ for each $1 \leq j \neq k \leq 2^r - 1$, that is $\text{Re}(N_j N_k) = 0$, and hence certainly $N_j N_k = -N_k N_j$ for all $1 \leq j \neq k \leq 2^r - 1, \dots, N_0 = 1$, $N_0 N_j = N_j N_0$ for all j . This can be done standardly by induction using doubling generators $N_2, N_4, \dots, N_{2^{r-1}}$, so that $N_3 = N_1 N_2, \dots, N_{2^{r-1}+p} = N_p N_{2^{r-1}}$ for each $p = 1, \dots, 2^{r-1} - 1$. With the doubling procedure of $\mathcal{A}_{s+1}$ from $\mathcal{A}_s$, $1 \leq s$, the multiplication rule is given by the formula:

$$(1) (u + vl)(w + xl) = (uw - \tilde{x}v) + (xu + v\tilde{w})l,$$

for each $u, v, w, x \in \mathcal{A}_s$, where $l = N_{2^s}$, $u + vl$ and $w + xl \in \mathcal{A}_{s+1}$ (see [1, 11, 13]).

With this new basis of generators write f in the form

$$(2) f(z) = {}_0g(z)N_0 + {}_1g(z)N_2 + \dots + {}_{2^{r-1}-1}g(z)N_{2^r-2},$$

where ${}_pg(z) \in \mathbf{C}_M$ for each $z \in U$ and all $p = 0, \dots, 2^{r-1} - 1$, while $\mathbf{C}_M := \mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ denotes the complex plane embedded into $\mathcal{A}_r$. If z is an arbitrary Cayley-Dickson number $z \in \mathcal{A}_r$, then it can be written as

$$(3) z = x + yN_z,$$

where $x = x_z \in \mathbf{C}_M$, $y = y_z \in \mathbf{R}$, N_z is a purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number may be dependent on z , $|N_z| = 1$, $N_z \perp M$, which follows also from (2). Since $N_z \perp M$ and they are purely imaginary, then $N_z M = -M N_z$. Thus $z^k = x_k + y_k N_{z^k}$ for any integer $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $x_k \in \mathbf{C}_M$ and $y_k \in \mathbf{R}$, with purely imaginary N_{z^k} , $N_{z^k} \perp M$.

Each ${}_pg$ is the $\mathbf{C}_M$ valued function, consequently, up to the isomorphism it is the complex locally analytic function. Therefore, its restriction on $\mathbf{C}_M$ can be written in the form

(4) ${}_pg(x)|_{\mathbf{C}_M} = \sum_k c_{k,p}(x - x_0)^k$, where $x_0 \in \mathbf{C}_M$ is a marked point and $c_{k,p} \in \mathbf{C}_M$ for each k . Suppose that ν is some phrase of f in the z -representation of f on U prescribed by Equations (2, 4) on the entire domain U with a common $x_0 \in \mathbf{C}_M$ independent from p so that the series converge uniformly on U . Using the translation $z \mapsto z - z_0$ we can consider for simplicity, that $x_0 = 0$. Then

(5) $\int_\gamma \sum_{k,p} c_{k,p} x^k N_p dx = \sum_{k,p} [c_{k,p}/(k+1)](b^{k+1} - a^{k+1})N_p$ when f does not contain any singularities in U , that is $\mathcal{A}_r$ differentiable in U , where $a = \gamma(0)$, $b = \gamma(1)$, $\gamma(t)$ with $t \in [0, 1]$ is the rectifiable path in $\mathbf{C}_M$.

If $z_0 \in \mathbf{C}_M \cap U$ is an isolated pole of f encompassed by γ , then

$$(6) \text{Res}(z_0, \nu).M = \sum_p (c_{-1,p}M)N_p.$$

Indeed, each Cayley-Dickson number can be written in the polar form also

(7) $z = |z| \exp(\text{Arg}(z))$, where $\text{Arg}(z)$ is a purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number, so that $\text{Arg}(z) = \alpha M + \beta N_z$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$, consequently, $z^k = |z|^k \exp(k \text{Arg}(z))$ for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore, $z^k = |z|^k \exp(k M \phi)$ for each $z \in \mathbf{C}_M$, where $\phi = \phi(z) \in \mathbf{R}$. The line integral is additive, hence

$$\int_{\gamma} \sum_p {}_p g(z) N_p dz = \sum_p \left(\int_{\gamma} {}_p g(z) dz \right) N_p.$$

Then each term of the form $\eta(z) = \{az^k b\}_{q(3)}$ on U with integer $k \neq -1$ has the function $v(z)$ given by any either left or the right algorithm as $v(z) = \{az^{k+1} b\}_{q(3)}$ with $(dv(z)/dz).1 = \{az^k b\}_{q(3)}$, since $dv(z)/dz = \sum_{j=0}^k \{a((z^j \mathbf{1})z^{k-j})b\}_{q(3)}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the unit operator on $\mathcal{A}_r$, while $q(3)$ indicates on the order of multiplication for $r \geq 3$, for $r = 2$ the quaternion algebra is associative. In each $\mathbf{C}_M$ for η with $k = -1$ the function v has the restriction $v|_{\mathbf{C}_M} = \{a \text{Ln}(z) b\}_{q(3)}$ for $z \neq 0$, since $(de^z/dz).h = e^z h$ and $(d\text{Ln}(z)/dz).h = z^{-1}h$ for each $0 \neq z \in \mathbf{C}_M$, $h \in \mathbf{C}_M$ and the logarithmic function $\text{Ln}(z)$ is the inverse function of the exponential function (see also [17, 18, 25]). Thus $\text{Res}(z_0, \{a(z - z_0)^{-1}b\}_{q(3)}) \cdot M = \{aMb\}_{q(3)}$ for any purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number M . Another valuable identities for calculating residues follow from Formulas 9(3 – 6).

Take the word $\eta(z) = \{a_1 z^{n_1} \dots a_k z^{n_k} a_{k+1}\}_{q_1(2k+1)}$ the restriction of which on $\mathbf{C}_M$ has the form $\{b_1 \dots b_{k+1} (z^s \tilde{z}^m)\}_{q_2(k+2)}$, where constants $a_1, \dots, b_{k+1}$ belong to $\mathcal{A}_r$, $2 \leq r \leq 3$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $n_1, \dots, n_k, s, m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then for the rectifiable loop γ encompassing zero in $\mathbf{C}_M$ with the winding number j we infer, that

$$(8) \quad (2\pi j)^{-1} \int_{\gamma} \{a_1 z^{n_1} \dots a_k z^{n_k} a_{k+1}\}_{q_1(2k+1)} dz = \{b_1 \dots b_{k+1} M\}_{q_2(k+2)} \text{ for } m - s = 1 \text{ with } n = -1;$$

$$(9) \quad (2\pi j)^{-1} \int_{\gamma} \{a_1 z^{n_1} \dots a_k z^{n_k} a_{k+1}\}_{q_1(2k+1)} dz = \{b_1 \dots b_{k+1} \tilde{M}\}_{q_2(k+2)} \text{ for } s - m = 1 \text{ with } n = -1;$$

$$(10) \quad (2\pi j)^{-1} \int_{\psi} \{a_1 z^{n_1} \dots a_k z^{n_k} a_{k+1}\}_{q_1(2k+1)} dz = 0 \text{ for either } |m - s| \neq 1 \text{ or } n \neq -1, \text{ where } n = n_1 + \dots + n_k, s + m = n,$$

since $\exp(-2\pi Mt)M \exp(2\pi Mt) = M$ in $\mathcal{A}_r$, also $(de^z/dz).h = e^z h$ for $\text{Im}(h) \in \text{Im}(z)\mathbf{R}$.

If $z_1 = a + bM$ is some Cayley-Dickson number with $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ and a purely imaginary number M , then any other $z_2 \in \mathcal{A}_r$ can be written in the form $z_2 = \alpha + \beta M + \phi N$, where $\alpha, \beta, \phi \in \mathbf{R}$, N is a purely imaginary number orthogonal to M , $N \perp M$. Therefore,

$$(11) \quad z_1 z_2 = (\alpha + \beta M) z_1 + \phi N \tilde{z}_1, \text{ since } NM = -MN.$$

This identity and the formulas given above together with the additivity and $\mathbf{R}$ homogeneity of the non commutative line integral over $\mathcal{A}_r$ can be used for calculations of integrals along paths in planes such as $\mathbf{C}_M$ and the corresponding residues $\text{Res}(z_0, \mu).M$.

Generally if there is given f and its phrase μ is specified, then such transformations to the form (2, 4) may change the phrase, so μ may be not equal to ν . If $\int_{\gamma} \nu(z) dz$ or $\text{Res}(z_0, \nu).M$ is calculated, then using transition formulas from μ to ν and vice versa one may calculate these quantities for μ if these transition formulas do not change such integrals and residues, that generally may be not a case. Also mention that $K \exp(Mt) = K \cos(t) + KM \sin(t) =: N(t)$

for two purely imaginary octonion numbers K and M with $|M| = 1$ and the real variable t . If K and M are perpendicular, $K \perp M$, and $|K| = 1$, then $K \exp(Mt) = \exp(\pi N(t)/2)$ and hence $Ln(K \exp(Mt)) = \pi N(t)/2 + 2\pi N(t)k$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ depending on the branch of Ln , since $|N(t)| = 1$.

There is also an interesting particular case of terms

$$\eta(z) = \{c_{1,n_1} z^{n_1} \dots c_{k,n_k} z^{n_k} c_{k+1,n_{k+1}}\}_{q(2k+1)},$$

when there exist K and M purely imaginary numbers and constants c_{j,n_j} in the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$, $2 \leq r \leq 3$, so that $Im(c_{j,n_j}) \perp K\mathbf{C}_M$ for each j , where $|K| = |M| = 1$, $K \perp M$, $Im(z) := (z - \bar{z})/2$, $n_j \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ for each j , $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k > 0$. The real field $\mathbf{R}$ is the center of $\mathcal{A}_r$ and each purely imaginary number S orthogonal to $K\mathbf{C}_M$ anti-commutes with each $z \in K\mathbf{C}_M$. Then using multiplications of generators and distributivity of the multiplication $(a+b)z = az + bz$ in $\mathcal{A}_r$ one finds the restriction $v(z)|_{K\mathbf{C}_M}$ of a function $v(z)$ which can be reduced to the form $\alpha z^{n+1}/(n+1)$ and it has the extension on $\mathcal{A}_r$ such that

$$(dv(z)/dz).h = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha((z^j h) z^{n-j})/(n+1) \text{ for each } z \text{ and } h \in \mathcal{A}_r,$$

where a constant α is in $\mathcal{A}_r$, $Im(\alpha) \perp K\mathbf{C}_M$, since the octonion algebra is alternative and the quaternion skew field is associative. Therefore, $(dv(z)/dz).1 = \eta(z)$ for each $z \in K\mathbf{C}_M$. On the other hand, each function given by either the left or right algorithm of integration is of total degree by z equal to $n+1$ and can be reduced to the form $\alpha z^{n+1}/(n+1)$ on $K\mathbf{C}_M$. Evidently $(v(b) + w(x)) - (v(a) + w(x)) = v(b) - v(a)$ for each function $w(x)$ with values in $\mathcal{A}_r$ and the argument $x \in \mathcal{A}_r \ominus K\mathbf{C}_M \ominus \mathbf{R}$, $Im(x) \perp K\mathbf{C}_M$. This implies that

$$(12) \quad \int_{\gamma} \eta(z) dz = \alpha(b^{n+1} - a^{n+1})/(n+1), \text{ when } n \in \mathbf{N}, a \text{ and } b \in K\mathbf{C}_M \text{ and either conditions of the homotopy Theorem 2.15 [17, 18] are satisfied or } \gamma([0, 1]) \subset K\mathbf{C}_M.$$

Another example is of a function f which can be written in the form $f(z) = (a(z)((b(z)1/(z-y))c(z)))e(z)$ in a neighborhood of $y \in \mathcal{A}_r$, where $a(z)$, $b(z)$, $c(z)$ and $e(z)$ are $\mathcal{A}_r$ -holomorphic and $a(y) \neq 0$, $b(y) \neq 0$, $c(y) \neq 0$ and $e(y) \neq 0$, $2 \leq r \leq 3$. Then the residue operator is:

$$(13) \quad Res(y, f).N = (2\pi)^{-1} \lim_{0 < \beta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma_{\beta}} (a(z)((b(z)1/(z-y))c(z)))e(z) dz \\ = (a(y)((b(y)N)c(y))e(y),$$

where to a in Formula 1(i) here corresponds y , while to y in 1(i) here corresponds β .

In relation with words and phrases there are also some useful identities. Let $q(n+2)$ be a vector indicating an order of the multiplication of $n+2$ multipliers, then

$$(14) \quad (d\{az^n b\}_{q(n+2)}/dz).h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \{az^j h z^{n-j-1} b\}_{q(n+2)}$$

for each $h \in \mathcal{A}_r$, where z^n is treated as the product of n multipliers z . Since the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$, $r \geq 2$, is power associative, then

$$(15) \quad (d\{z^n\}_{q(n)}/dz).h = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \{z^j h z^{n-j-1}\}_{q(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \{z^j h z^{n-j-1}\}_{q_l(n)}$$

for each $q(n)$, but $q(n)$ is the same for all additives in the sum independently from j , where $q_l(n)$ corresponds to the left order of brackets, $\{a_1 \dots a_n\}_{q(n)} = ((\dots(a_1 a_2) \dots) a_{n-1}) a_n$. In the octonion algebra an order of multiplications in the term $\{z^j h z^{n-j-1}\}_{q(n)}$ is not so important (see §9) due to the Moufang identities.

The complete differential is $(D\eta(z, \tilde{z})).h = (\partial\eta(z, \tilde{z})/\partial z).h + (\partial\eta(z, \tilde{z})/\partial \tilde{z}).h$ for a $(z, \tilde{z})$ (super) differentiable phrase $\eta(z, \tilde{z})$. Particularly for $\eta(z, \tilde{z}) = z^n \tilde{z}^m$ the complete differential is the same for $\tilde{z}^m z^n$, since z and $\tilde{z}$ commute. This implies, that

$$(16) ((dz^n/dz).h)\tilde{z}^m + z^n(d(\tilde{z}^m)/d\tilde{z}).h = \tilde{z}^m((dz^n/dz).h) + ((d\tilde{z}^m/d\tilde{z}).h)z^n$$

for all $z, h \in \mathcal{A}_r$.

Thus Formulas (1 – 16) of this section and Formulas 9(M1 – M3, 1 – 6) can serve for practical calculations of residues.

In the case of Cayley-Dickson algebras calculations of line integrals are more complicated because of non commutativity, non associativity and possible behavior of functions around branching points z_0 branches of which generally depend on $M/|M|$ for $M = Im(z - z_0) \neq 0$.

Evaluate some useful integrals. In the notation of [17, 18] $\hat{f}$ is the operator such that $\hat{f}(z).dz = (dg(z)/dz).dz$ for a (super) differentiable function $f(z)$ with $(dg(z)/dz).1 = f(z)$ in an open subset U and g is calculated with the help of either left or right algorithm for each power series. Then

$$(z^n)^\cdot \Delta z = \Delta(z^{n+1}/(n+1)) + o(\Delta z) \text{ with } n \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and}$$

$$(e^z)^\cdot \Delta z = \Delta(e^z) + o(\Delta z) \text{ for each } z \in \mathcal{A}_r,$$

$$(1/(1+y))^\cdot \Delta y = \Delta(Ln(1+y)) + o(\Delta y) \text{ for } |y| < 1, y \in \mathcal{A}_r, \text{ since the power series}$$

$$Ln(1+y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} y^n / n$$

has real expansion coefficients and uniformly converges in each ball of radius $0 < \rho < 1$ with the center at zero, where Δy is a sufficiently small increment of y . Thus

$$(17) \int_{\gamma} z^n dz = (b^{n+1} - a^{n+1})/(n+1),$$

$$(18) \int_{\gamma} e^z dz = e^b - e^a \text{ for each rectifiable path } \gamma \text{ such that } \gamma(0) = a \text{ and } \gamma(1) = b;$$

$$(19) \int_{\gamma} dLn(1+y) = \int_{\gamma} (1+y)^{-1} dy = Ln(1+b) - Ln(1+a)$$

for γ such that $|\gamma(t)| < 1$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. On the other hand, if $Im(z)$ and $Im(y) \in M\mathbf{R}$ for some marked purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number M , then z and y commute, consequently, $Ln(z(1+y)) = Ln(z) + Ln(1+y)$ for such y and z . Therefore, formula (19) is valid also for each rectifiable γ in the $(\mathbf{C}_M \setminus \{z \in \mathcal{A}_r : z \leq 0\}) - 1$, where $\mathbf{C}_M = \mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ is the complex plane embedded into the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$.

Then for any given rectifiable path γ in $\mathcal{A}_r \setminus Q$, where Q is the slit (cut) sub-manifold used for construction of the non commutative analog of the Riemann surface over $\mathcal{A}_r$, choose a sequence γ_n of rectifiable paths in $\mathcal{A}_r \setminus Q$ so that γ_n is the combination of paths $\gamma_{n,j} : [b_{j-1}, b_j] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$ in $\mathbf{C}_{M_{n,j}}$, $\gamma_n(t) = \gamma_{n,j}(t)$ for each $t \in [b_{j-1}, b_j]$, $j = 1, \dots, m(n) \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $b_0 = 0 < b_1 \dots < b_{m(n)} = 1$. Then for each $\gamma_{n,j}$ and hence for each γ_n Formula (19) is also valid in $(\mathcal{A}_r \setminus Q) - 1$. The limit by n then gives

$$(20) \int_{\gamma} dLn(z) = \int_{\gamma} z^{-1} dz = Ln(b) - Ln(a)$$

for each rectifiable path γ in $\mathcal{A}_r \setminus Q$ with a chosen branch of Ln . This is natural, since $(dLn(z)/dz).1 = 1/z$ for each $z \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{A}_r$.

If $f(z)$ is a univalent function in an open domain U in $\mathcal{A}_r$ having a power series decomposition $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ uniformly converging in U with real expansion coefficients a_n , then due to (17) we deduce, that

$$(21) \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (b^{n+1} - a^{n+1})/(n+1),$$

where $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ is a rectifiable path in U with $\gamma(0) = a$ and $\gamma(1) = b$. In particular this gives:

$$(22) \int_{\gamma} \sin(z) dz = \cos(a) - \cos(b),$$

$$(23) \int_{\gamma} \cos(z) dz = \sin(b) - \sin(a),$$

where $\sin(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n z^{2n+1} / (2n+1)!$, $\cos(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n z^{2n} / (2n)!$.

If f is a (super) differentiable function of the octonion variable not equal to zero on an open set U , then $f(z)(1/f(z)) = 1$ on U and $((df(z)/dz).h)(1/f(z)) + f(z)((d[1/f(z)]/dz).h)$ for each $z \in U$ and $h \in \mathbf{O}$, consequently, $(d[1/f(z)]/dz).h = -[1/f(z)]((df(z)/dz).h)[1/f(z)]$ on the corresponding domain (see Proposition 3.8.2 [18]). The Cayley-Dickson algebra is power associative, so repeating this for z^n with $n \in \mathbf{N}$ instead of f we get, that $(dz^{-n}/dz).h = -z^{-n}((dz^n/dz).h)z^{-n}$ for each $z \neq 0$ and h in $\mathcal{A}_r$ with $Im(z)$ and $Im(h) \in M\mathbf{R}$ for some marked purely imaginary number M . In particular, $(dz^n/dz).1 = nz^{n-1}$ for each integer number n with $z \neq 0$, when $n < 0$, $dz^0/dz = 0$. Thus

$$(24) \int_{\gamma} z^n dz = (b^{n+1} - a^{n+1}) / (n+1) \text{ for each integer } n \neq -1$$

an for every rectifiable path γ with $a = \gamma(0)$, $b = \gamma(1)$, so that $\gamma([0, 1])$ does not contain 0, when $n < 0$.

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_r$ then we define $z^\alpha := \exp(\alpha Ln(z))$ for each $z \neq 0$. So we calculate its derivative

$$(dz^\alpha/dz).h = (de^y/dy)|_{y=\alpha Ln(z)} \cdot (\alpha[(dLn(z)/dz).h]) \text{ for each } h \in \mathcal{A}_r. \text{ Particularly,}$$

$$(dz^\alpha/dz).1 = (de^y/dy)|_{y=\alpha Ln(z)} \cdot (\alpha z^{-1}). \text{ Take } \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, \text{ then } (dz^\alpha/dz).1 = \alpha z^{\alpha-1}, \text{ hence}$$

$$(25) \int_{\gamma} z^\alpha dz = (b^{\alpha+1} - a^{\alpha+1}) / (\alpha + 1) \text{ for each real } \alpha \neq -1 \text{ and the rectifiable path in } \mathcal{A}_r \setminus Q.$$

If $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, then we infer the power series decomposition

$$(1+z)^\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{n} z^n \text{ for each } |z| < 1, \text{ where } \binom{\alpha}{n} = \alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-n+1)/n!. \text{ Particularly,}$$

for $\alpha = -1/2$ and $z = -y^2$ we deduce, that

$$(26) \int_{\gamma} (1/\sqrt{1-y^2}) dy = \arcsin(b) - \arcsin(a)$$

for $\gamma([0, 1]) \subset [\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{z \in \mathcal{A}_r : Re(z) = 0\}]$, where the square root branch is taken $\sqrt{x} > 0$ for each $x > 0$, $|\gamma(t)| < 1$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

For the tangent function its power series has the form:

$$\tan(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n} (2^{2n} - 1) B_n z^{2n-1} / (2n)! \text{ and}$$

the cotangent function is:

$$z \cot(z) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n} B_n z^{2n} / (2n)!,$$

where B_n are the Bernoulli numbers and these power series absolutely converge in the balls $|z| < \pi/2$ and $|z| < \pi$ respectively. The Bernoulli numbers appear from the generating function

$x/(e^x - 1) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n x^n / n!$ for each $x \in (-\delta, \delta) \subset \mathbf{R}$ with sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ so that $\beta_{2n} = (-1)^{n-1} B_n$, $\beta_{2n+1} = 0$ for each $n \geq 1$ (see §XII.4 (445, 449) in [6]). Due to Formula (25) and the periodicity of the trigonometric functions we get:

$$(27) \int_{\gamma} \cos^{-2}(z) dz = \tan(b) - \tan(a), \text{ when } |\gamma(t) - \pi m| < \pi/2 \text{ for each } t \in [0, 1] \text{ for some marked } m \in \mathbf{Z},$$

$$(28) \int_{\gamma} \sin^{-2}(z) dz = \cot(a) - \cot(b), \text{ when } |\gamma(t) - \pi m - \pi/2| < \pi/2 \text{ for each } t \in [0, 1] \text{ for some marked } m \in \mathbf{Z}. \text{ On the other, hand } \tan(z) \text{ and } \cot(z) \text{ are meromorphic functions so}$$

that $(d \tan(z)/dz).1 = \cos^{-2}(z)$ for each $z \in V := \{y \in \mathcal{A}_r : \operatorname{Re}(y) \neq \pi(m+1/2), m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, also $(d \cot(z)/dz).1 = -\sin^{-2}(z)$ for each $z \in U := \{y \in \mathcal{A}_r : \operatorname{Re}(y) \neq \pi m, m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Therefore, Formulas (27, 28) extend on any rectifiable path γ in V or U correspondingly.

Mention also that Formula (18) implies:

$$(29) \int_{\gamma} \cosh(z) dz = \sinh(b) - \sinh(a),$$

$$(30) \int_{\gamma} \sinh(z) dz = \cosh(b) - \cosh(a), \text{ where } \cosh(z) = (e^z + e^{-z})/2, \sinh(z) = (e^z - e^{-z})/2.$$

For $\coth(z) = \cosh(z)/\sinh(z)$ the power series

$$z \coth(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} 2^{2n} B_n z^{2n} / (2n)!$$

absolutely converges in the ball $|z| < \pi$, hence

$$(31) \int_{\gamma} \sinh^{-2}(z) dz = \coth(a) - \coth(b)$$

for $0 < |\gamma(t)| < \pi$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. We can mention that if y is written in the polar form $y = \rho e^S$, where $\rho = |y| \geq 0$ and S is a purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number, then $y^2 = -1$ if and only if $\rho = 1$ and $|S| = \pi(m+1/2)$ with $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Since $\tanh(z)$ and $\coth(z)$ are locally analytic and $(d \tanh(z)/dz).1 = \cosh^{-2}(z)$ for each $z \in V := \mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{y : \operatorname{Re}(y) = 0, |\operatorname{Im}(y)| = \pi(m+1/2), m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $(d \coth(z)/dz).1 = -\sinh^{-2}(z)$ for each $z \in U := \mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{y : \operatorname{Re}(y) = 0, |\operatorname{Im}(y)| = \pi m, m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, then (31) extends on $\gamma \subset U$ and

$$(32) \int_{\gamma} \cosh^{-2}(z) dz = \tanh(b) - \tanh(a) \text{ for each } \gamma \subset V.$$

The series $1/(1+z^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-1/2}{n} z^{2n}/n!$ absolutely converges for $|z| < 1$ and its integral gives $\arctan$ in this ball. The function $\arctan(z)$ is locally analytic and $(d \arctan(z)/dz).1 = 1/(1+z^2)$ for $z^2 \neq -1$, since $(d \tan(z)/dz).1 = 1/\cos^2(z)$ and $(df^{-1}(z)/dz)|_{z=f(y)} = (df(y)/dy)^{-1}$ on the corresponding domains (see Proposition 3.8.1 in [18]). Thus

$$(33) \int_{\gamma} [1/(1+z^2)] dz = \arctan(b) - \arctan(a) \text{ for } \gamma \subset \{y \in \mathcal{A}_r : y^2 \neq -1\}.$$

In the octonion algebra due to its alternativity $(dLn(z + \sqrt{z^2 + \alpha})/dz).1 = 1/\sqrt{z^2 + \alpha}$ for a non zero real number α and each $z^2 \neq -\alpha$ in $\mathbf{O}$, where $Ln(z + \sqrt{z^2 + \alpha})$ and $\sqrt{z^2 + \alpha}$ are locally analytic. Therefore, with the help of Formula (20) we infer:

$$(34) \int_{\gamma} [1/\sqrt{z^2 + \alpha}] dz = \int_{\gamma} dLn(z + \sqrt{z^2 + \alpha}) \\ = Ln(b + \sqrt{b^2 + \alpha}) - Ln(a + \sqrt{a^2 + \alpha})$$

for each path γ in the set $U := \{y \in \mathbf{O} : y^2 \neq -\alpha, \operatorname{Re}(y) \neq 0\}$, since in it branches of functions $1/\sqrt{z^2 + \alpha}$ and $Ln(z + \sqrt{z^2 + \alpha})$ are specified. One mentions that $y^2 = -\alpha$ if and only if $\rho^2 = |\alpha|$ and $|S| = \pi(m + \kappa(\alpha)/2)$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $y = \rho e^S$, $\rho = |y|$, $\operatorname{Re}(S) = 0$, $\kappa(\alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha > 0$, $\kappa(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \leq 0$.

Consider now the general algorithm in more details. Let f_1 and f_2 be two analytic functions of z on U . Denote $f^0 = f$, $f^{-n} = f^{(n)}$, $f^{(n)}(z) := (d^n f(z)/dz^n).1^{\otimes n}$, f^n is such that $(df^n(z)/dz).1 = f^{n-1}(z)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Particularly, $(\{az^k b\}_{q(3)})^n = \{az^{k+n} b\}_{q(3)}[(k+1) \dots (k+n)]^{-1}$ for constants $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where the symbol z^0 is also integrated for convenience so that each phrase of a z (super) differentiable function is a series of addends having obligatory components z^n or $e = \mathbf{1}(1)$ (see also §2.14 [21]). In view of this $(df^1(z)/dz).h = \hat{f}.h$ and $f \mapsto f^l$ is the anti-derivation operation of order l , $l \in \mathbf{N}$. Then the left algorithm is

$$(35) (f_1 f_2)^1 = \sum_{s=0}^{n_2} (-1)^s f_1^{1+s} f_2^{-s}, \text{ where } n_2 \text{ is the least natural number such that}$$

$f_2^{-n_2-1} = 0$. The right algorithm is symmetric to the left. The work with phrases and power series reduces to products of polynomials and their sums, so consider this algorithm for $(\{f_1 \dots f_k\})^l$, where $l \in \mathbf{N}$, $f_2, \dots, f_k$ are polynomials by z of degrees $n_2, \dots, n_k$ respectively. Then

$$(36) \quad (f_1 f_2)^2 = \sum_{s_1=0}^{n_2} (-1)^{s_1} (f_1^{1+s_1} f_2^{-s_1})^1 = \sum_{s_1=0}^{n_2} \sum_{s_2=0}^{n_2-s_1} (-1)^{s_1+s_2} f_1^{2+s_1+s_2} f_2^{-s_1-s_2} = \sum_{s=0}^{n_2} (-1)^s (s+1) f_1^{2+s} f_2^{-s}.$$

Continue these calculations by induction using that

$$\sum_{s_1=0}^s \sum_{s_2=0}^{s-s_1} \dots \sum_{s_p=0}^{s-s_1-\dots-s_{p-1}} 1 = \binom{s+p-1}{s},$$

where $\binom{n}{m} = n!/(m!(n-m)!)$ denotes the binomial coefficient. Then

$$(37) \quad (f_1 f_2)^l = \sum_{s=0}^{n_2} (-1)^s \binom{s+l-1}{s} f_1^{s+l} f_2^{-s}.$$

Applying Formula (37) to product of k terms we deduce that

$$(38) \quad (\{f_1 f_2 \dots f_k\}_{q(k)})^l = \sum_{s_k=0}^{n_k} (-1)^{s_k} \binom{s_k+l-1}{s_k} \{[f_1 \dots f_{k-1}]^{s_k+l} f_k^{-s_k}\}_{q(k)} = \sum_{s_k=0}^{n_k} \sum_{s_{k-1}=0}^{n_{k-1}} \dots \sum_{s_2=0}^{n_2} (-1)^{s_2+\dots+s_k} \binom{s_k+l-1}{s_k} \binom{s_{k-1}+s_k+l-1}{s_{k-1}} \dots \binom{s_2+\dots+s_k+l-1}{s_2} \{f_1^{l+s_2+\dots+s_k} f_2^{-s_2} \dots f_k^{-s_k}\}_{q(k)},$$

where the notation $[f_1 \dots f_{k-1}]^{s_k+l}$ does not mean any order of multiplications but only that the anti-derivation operator is of order s_k+l for a collection of these terms in square brackets. For the skew field of quaternions curled brackets can be omitted due to the associativity. Thus either left or right algorithm specifies the branch of the non commutative line integral for f (see also [17, 18, 21]) and for this Formulas (35 – 37) are helpful.

If $f(z)$ is an analytic function on a ball B with the center at zero and the uniformly converging power series of f has real expansion coefficients, also $\alpha \in \mathbf{O}$, $\alpha \neq 0$, then the mapping $z \mapsto \alpha z \alpha^{-1}$ in $\mathbf{O}$ induces the mapping $f(z) \mapsto \alpha f(z) \alpha^{-1}$ so that $\alpha f(z) \alpha^{-1} = f(\alpha z \alpha^{-1})$. But the line integral changes as

$$(39) \quad \alpha \left(\int_{\gamma} f(z).dz \right) \alpha^{-1} = \int_{\gamma} f(\alpha z \alpha^{-1}).(\alpha dz \alpha^{-1}) = \int_{\psi} f(y).dy$$

for such function f , since the octonion algebra is alternative and $\mathbf{R}$ is its center, where $\psi(t) = \alpha \gamma(t) \alpha^{-1}$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. If f is a multi-valued function, for example, $Ln(z)$ or $\sqrt[n]{z}$, $n \geq 2$, then the mapping $z \mapsto \alpha z$ or $z \mapsto z \alpha$ may cause a transition from one branch of f into another.

15. Proposition. *Let f_1 and f_2 be two (super) differentiable univalent functions on an open set U in the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$ and γ be a rectifiable path in U . Then*

$$\int_{\gamma} f_1(z) [(df_2(z)/dz).1] dz = f_1(z) f_2(z)|_a^b - \int_{\gamma} [(df_1(z)/dz).1] f_2 dz.$$

Proof. Consider the (super) derivative

$$(df_1(z) f_2(z)/dz).h = [(df_1(z)/dz).h] f_2(z) + f_1(z) [(df_2(z)/dz).h],$$

where $z \in U$ and $h \in \mathcal{A}_r$. Then we take the integral sums $\sum_{j=1}^n$ corresponding to partitions P of γ . Therefore, in the notation of §11 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} [(df_1(z) f_2(z)/dz).1] dz &= \lim_{\delta(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^n (df_1(z) f_2(z)/dz)|_{z=z_j} \Delta_j z \\ &= f_1(z) f_2(z)|_a^b = \lim_{\delta(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^n ([(df_1(z)/dz).1] f_2(z)). \Delta_j z \\ &\quad + \lim_{\delta(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^n (f_1(z) [(df_2(z)/dz).1]). \Delta_j z \\ &= \int_{\gamma} [(df_1(z)/dz).1] f_2(z) dz + \int_{\gamma} f_1(z) [(df_2(z)/dz).1] dz, \text{ consequently,} \\ \int_{\gamma} f_1(z) [(df_2(z)/dz).1] dz &= f_1(z) f_2(z)|_a^b - \int_{\gamma} [(df_1(z)/dz).1] f_2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

4 Affine algebras over octonions

1. Definition. If a generalized Cartan matrix A has positive all proper main minors and $\det(A) = 0$, then one says that A is of the affine type. The algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ (see §2.6) corresponding to the generalized Cartan matrix A of the affine type is called the affine algebra over $\mathcal{A}_r$.

2. Remarks. Let f and g be two meromorphic functions on an open set V in the Cayley-Dickson algebra with singularities at a set W satisfying conditions 3.1(R1 – R3). Then

$$(df(z)g(z)/dz).h = [(df(z)/dz).h]g(z) + f(z)[(dg(z)/dz).h]$$

for each $h \in \mathcal{A}_r$ and every $z \in V \setminus W =: U$. In particular, take $h = 1$. On the other hand, $\int_\gamma [(df(z)g(z)/dz).1]dz = 0$ for each rectifiable loop γ in U and we get

$$(1) \text{Res}(z_0, (f'(z).1)g(z)).M = -\text{Res}(z_0, f(z)(g'(z).1)).M$$

for each $z_0 \in V$ and every purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number M (see also §§3.1, 3.6 and 3.15). In the notation $\psi_{z_0}(f', g) := \text{Res}(z_0, (f'(z).1)g(z))$ Formula (1) takes the form

(2) $\psi_{z_0}(f', g) = -\psi_{z_0}(f, g')$ for each $z_0 \in U$, where $\psi_{z_0}(f', g).M$ is $\mathcal{A}_r$ additive by f and by g also, by purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number M , moreover, it is $\mathbf{R}$ homogeneous by f and by g and by M , which follows from the properties of the residue operator (see Theorem 3.6 also).

Consider the set theoretical composition of mappings $f_1 \circ f_2(y) = f_1(f_2(y))$. In view of Proposition 2.2.1 and Theorems 2.11, 2.15, 2.16 and 3.10 [18] we can reformulate the result.

2.1. Proposition. Let $g : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^m$, $r \geq 2$, and $f : W \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^n$ be two differentiable functions on U and W respectively such that $g(U) \subset W$, U is open in $\mathcal{A}_r^k$, W is open in $\mathcal{A}_r^m$, $k, n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, where f and g are simultaneously either $(z, \tilde{z})$, or z , or $\tilde{z}$ -differentiable. Then the composite function $f \circ g(z) := f(g(z))$ is differentiable on U and

$$(3) (Df \circ g(z)).h = (Df(g)).((Dg(z)).h)$$

for each $z \in U$ and each $h \in \mathcal{A}_r^k$, and hence $f \circ g$ is of the same type of differentiability as f and g .

2.2. Multiplications of operators. The set theoretic composition serves as the forgetful functor on an order of the derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad & (d(f_3 \circ f_2) \circ f_1(x)/dx).h = (df_3 \circ f_2(y)/dy)|_{y=f_1(x)} \cdot [(df_1(x)/dx).h] = \\ & (df_3 \circ f_2(y)/dy)|_{y=f_1(x)} \cdot v|_{v=(df_1(x)/dx).h} \\ & = [(df_3(z)/dz)|_{z=f_2(y)} \cdot [(df_2(y)/dy)|_{y=f_1(x)} \cdot v]]|_{v=(df_1(x)/dx).h} \\ & = (df_3(z)/dz)|_{z=f_2(y)} \cdot [(df_2(y)/dy)|_{y=f_1(x)} \cdot [(df_1(x)/dx).h]] = (df_3(f_2(f_1(x)))/dx).h \end{aligned}$$

for every $h \in \mathcal{A}_r^{n_1}$, for open domains U_j in $\mathcal{A}_r^{n_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ so that $f_j : U_j \rightarrow U_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2$, $f_3 : U_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^n$, $n_1, n_2, n_3, n \in \mathbf{N}$, f_j is z differentiable on U_j for each $j = 1, 2, 3$. If consider $\mathcal{A}_r$ additive $\mathbf{R}$ homogeneous operators A_j on vector spaces X_j over $\mathcal{A}_r$, $A_j : X_j \rightarrow X_{j+1}$, with another type of composition $A_2 \diamond A_1$ induced by that of the matrix multiplication of matrices with entries in $\mathcal{A}_r$, also Cayley-Dickson numbers and also by the multiplication of matrices on the corresponding vectors, then it will be necessary to describe an order of such new multiplication indicating a vector $q(m)$ prescribing such order $\{A_m \diamond \dots \diamond A_1\}_{q(m)}$,

where $A_j \diamond h_j := A_j(h_j)$ for each $h_j \in X_j$. The set theoretic composition of operators

$$(5) \quad (A_3 \circ A_2) \circ A_1(h) = (A_3 \circ A_2)(v)|_{v=A_1(h)} \\ = A_3(A_2(v))|_{v=A_1(h)} = A_3(A_2(A_1(h))) \text{ for each } h \in X_1$$

is always associative and they correspond as

$$(6) \quad A_m \circ \dots \circ A_1(h) = A_m \diamond (A_{m-1} \diamond \dots (A_2 \diamond (A_1 \diamond h)) \dots)$$

for each $h \in X_1$, particularly,

$$(7) \quad (A_2 \circ A_1)(h) = A_2 \diamond (A_1 \diamond h)$$

such that the set theoretic composition of operators can be considered as the particular case of more general multiplication of operators with the right composition on the right side of Formulas (6, 7). Mention that generally $(A_2 \diamond A_1) \diamond h$ may be not equal to $A_2 \diamond (A_1 \diamond h)$ over $\mathbf{O}$. Over the quaternion skew field $\mathbf{H}$ brackets can be omitted, since $\mathbf{H}$ is associative.

2.3. Take the algebra $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ of all meromorphic functions in V with singularities contained in W , where V is open in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r$ and W satisfy Conditions 3.1(R1 – R3), $W \subset V$. In particular, for a singleton $\{0\}$ instead of W this gives the algebra $\mathcal{L}(V)$ of all Loran series on the set $V \setminus \{0\}$ open in $\mathcal{A}_r$:

$$(8) \quad \eta(z) = \sum_{m,j,p} \{A_{m,j}, z^m\}_{q(2p)}$$

with center at zero, where

$$(9) \quad \{A_{m,j}, z^m\}_{q(2p)} := \{a_{m,m_1,1} z^{m_1} \dots a_{m,m_p,p} z^{m_p}\}_{q(2p)},$$

$a_{m,k,p} \in \mathcal{A}_r$ and $m_k \in \mathbf{Z}$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $j, p \in \mathbf{N}$, $m = (m_1, \dots, m_p)$ (see [17, 18]). To each phrase $\eta(z)$ the function $f_\eta(z) = ev_z(\eta) = \eta(z)$ corresponds, where ev_z denotes the valuation operation at $z \in U$.

There is the natural equivalence relation of phrases prescribed by the rules:

$$(10) \quad b\eta_1\eta_2 = \eta_1 b\eta_2 = \eta_1\eta_2 b \text{ for each real number } b,$$

$$(11) \quad (z^l z^n) = (z^{n+l}) \text{ for all integers } l, n,$$

(12) $(\eta_1 + \eta_2) - \eta_2 = \eta_1$ for all phrases η_1 and η_2 , since $\mathcal{A}_r$ is the power associative algebra with the center $\mathbf{R}$. Another equivalences are caused by associativity of the quaternion skew field $\mathbf{H}$ and the alternativity of the octonion algebra $\mathbf{O}$. Over $\mathbf{H}$ brackets in phrases can be omitted.

If W is not a singleton, then the restriction of $f \in \mathcal{M}(V, W)$ on $z_0 + \mathbf{C}_M$ has the Loran series (9) by $z - z_0 \in \mathbf{C}_M$ instead of z for each $z_0 \in W$ and each purely imaginary Cayley-Dickson number $M \in \mathcal{A}_r$. This follows from Formulas (3.22, 23) [17, 18] applied to rectifiable loops γ_1 and γ_2 in $z_0 + \mathbf{C}_M$ and Conditions 3.1(R1 – R3).

2.3. Definitions. For a generalized Cartan matrix A take the matrix $\mathring{A}$ obtained from A by deleting its zero column and zero row and put $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathring{A})$. Define the wrap algebra

$\mathcal{M}(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}) := \mathcal{M}(V, W) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_r} \mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ with the multiplication:

$$[P \otimes x, Q \otimes y]_0 := PQ \otimes [x, y] \text{ for all } P, Q \in \mathcal{M}(V, W) \text{ and } x, y \in \mathring{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Extend the form $(x|y)$ from $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ onto $\mathcal{M}(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ by the formula:

$$(P \otimes x|Q \otimes y)_z = PQ(x|y).$$

3. Proposition. *There exists an $\mathcal{A}_r$ valued graded 2-cocycle on the wrap algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ over $\mathcal{A}_r$, $2 \leq r \leq 3$.*

Proof. The differentiation

(1) $DP(z) := (dP(z)/dz).1$ of the algebra $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$ for $z \in V \setminus W$

we extend to the differentiation of $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the formula

(2) $D(P \otimes x) := (DP) \otimes x$.

Define the mapping

(3) $\omega(z_0; P \otimes x, Q \otimes y) := Res(z_0, (DP)Q).(x|y)$

for each $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}(V, W)$ and every $z_0 \in W$, where the form $(*|*)$ is given by Theorem 2.20. Its restriction $(*|*)|_{\mathfrak{og}}$ on $\mathfrak{og}$ is real valued. We have

(4) $Res(z_0, f).b = 0$ for each real number b

and every $f \in \mathcal{M}(V, W)$ with $z_0 \in V$, since in this case the line integral is calculated along a rectifiable loop γ contained in $\mathbf{R}$, hence

(5) $Res(z_0, f).h = Res(z_0, f).(Im(h))$

for each Cayley-Dickson number $h \in \mathcal{A}_r$. For the definiteness of residues we consider them for specified phrases of functions. Consider the ordered product $\{f_1 f_2 f_3\}_{q(3)}$ (usual point-wise) of z differentiable functions f_1, f_2, f_3 on the open set U in $\mathcal{A}_r$. For each $z_0 \in U$ we have $Res(z_0, (\{f_1 f_2 f_3\}_{q(3)})'.1) = 0$, consequently,

(6) $Res(z_0, \{(f'_1.1)f_2 f_3\}_{q(3)}) + Res(z_0, \{f_1(f'_2.1)f_3\}_{q(3)})$
 $+ Res(z_0, \{f_1 f_2(f'_3.1)\}_{q(3)}) = 0$.

There is the decomposition

(7) $P = {}_0P_{i_0} + \dots + {}_{2^r-1}P_{i_{2^r-1}}$,

where ${}_jP$ is the $\mathbf{R}$ valued function for each j , so that they are related by the formulas

(8) ${}_0P = (P + (2^r - 2)^{-1}\{-P + \sum_{j=1}^{2^r-1} i_j(Pi_j^*)\})/2$,

(9) ${}_kP = (i_k(2^r - 2)^{-1}\{-P + \sum_{j=1}^{2^r-1} i_j(Pi_j^*)\} - Pi_k)/2$ for each $k = 1, \dots, 2^r - 1$.

We have the natural identity

(10) ${}_kPi_k \otimes {}_kv = {}_kP \otimes {}_kvi_k$.

On the other hand,

(11) $\omega(P \otimes x, Q \otimes y) = \psi_0((DP), Q).(x|y)$, consequently, for pure states ${}_kai_k = P_k \otimes {}_kxi_k \in {}_k\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g})_{i_k}$ and ${}_kbi_j = Q_j \otimes {}_jyi_j \in {}_j\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g})_{i_j}$ we get

(12) $\omega({}_kP \otimes {}_kxi_k, {}_jQ \otimes {}_jyi_j) = (-1)^{\eta(k,j)+1}\omega({}_jQ \otimes {}_jyi_j, {}_kP \otimes {}_kxi_k)$,

since the form $(x|y)$ is symmetric on $\mathfrak{og}(A)$ and hence on $\mathfrak{og}$ and satisfies 17(2).

At the same time we have the identities:

(13) $Res(z_0, (({}_kP {}_jQ)'.1) {}_sR) + Res(z_0, (({}_jQ {}_sR)'.1) {}_kP) + Res(z_0, (({}_sR {}_kP)'.1) {}_jQ)$
 $= 2(Res(z_0, (({}_kP)'.1) {}_jQ {}_sR) + Res(z_0, {}_kP(({}_jQ)'.1) {}_sR)$
 $+ Res(z_0, {}_kP {}_jQ(({}_sR)'.1))) = 2Res(z_0, ({}_kP {}_jQ {}_sR)'.1) = 0$

and for pure states ${}_kai_k = {}_kP \otimes {}_kxi_k$, ${}_jbi_j = {}_jQ \otimes {}_jyi_j$, ${}_sci_s = {}_sR \otimes {}_szi_s$ we deduce taking into account Theorem 2.20 and Properties 17(1 – 5) and Identity (13):

(14) $\omega(z_0; [{}_kai_k, {}_jbi_j], {}_sci_s) + (-1)^{\xi(k,j,s)}\omega(z_0; [{}_jbi_j, {}_sci_s], {}_kai_k)$
 $+ (-1)^{\xi(k,j,s)+\xi(j,s,k)}\omega(z_0; [{}_sci_s, {}_kai_k], {}_jbi_j)$
 $= Res(z_0, (D({}_kP {}_jQ)) {}_sR).([{}_kxi_k, {}_jyi_j] {}_szi_s) +$
 $(-1)^{\xi(k,j,s)}Res(z_0, (D({}_jQ {}_sR)) {}_kP).([{}_jyi_j, {}_szi_s] {}_kxi_k)$
 $+ (-1)^{\xi(k,j,s)+\xi(j,s,k)}Res(z_0, (D({}_sR {}_kP)) {}_jQ).([{}_szi_s, {}_kxi_k] {}_jyi_j)$
 $= 2Res(z_0, D({}_kP {}_jQ {}_sR)).([{}_kxi_k, {}_jyi_j] {}_szi_s) = 0$.

Thus Formulas (12, 14) mean that ω is the graded 2-cocycle over the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathcal{A}_r$.

4. Definitions. Put $\check{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) := \mathcal{M}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) \oplus F(W, \mathcal{A}_r)K$ with the multiplication

$$(1) [a \oplus \alpha(z_0)K, b \oplus \beta(z_0)K] := [a, b]_0 + \omega(z_0; a, b)K \text{ for each } a, b \in \mathcal{L}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in F(W, \mathcal{A}_r), z_0 \in W$$

where K is the additional generator, $F(W, \mathcal{A}_r)$ denotes the $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space of all functions $\alpha, \beta : W \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_r$.

We introduce the operator $d_l P(z) := -(dP(z)/dz).z^{l+1}$ for each $P \in \mathcal{M}(V, W)$ and all $z \in V \setminus W$, also $d_l K := 0$, $d_l(P \otimes x) = d_l(P) \otimes x$, where $l \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Define new algebra $\hat{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) = \check{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) \oplus \mathcal{A}_r \mathbf{d}$ with the differentiation $\mathbf{d}P(z) := (dP(z)/dz).z = -d_0 P(z)$ for each $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ and every $P \in \mathcal{M}(U)$ and $\mathbf{d}K := 0$. We put as the multiplication

$$(2) [{}_k P \otimes {}_k x i_k \oplus \alpha i_k K \oplus e i_k \mathbf{d}, {}_j Q \otimes {}_j y i_j \oplus \beta i_j K \oplus t i_j \mathbf{d}] := ({}_k P {}_j Q \otimes [{}_k x i_k, {}_j y i_j] \oplus e i_k (\mathbf{d} {}_j Q) \otimes {}_j y i_j - (-1)^{\eta(k,j)} t i_j (\mathbf{d} {}_k P) \otimes {}_k x i_k) \oplus \omega(z_0; {}_k P \otimes {}_k x i_k, {}_j Q \otimes {}_j y i_j)$$

for pure states ${}_k P \otimes x i_k \oplus \alpha i_k K \oplus e i_k \mathbf{d} \in {}_k \hat{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) i_k$ and ${}_j Q \otimes y i_j \oplus \beta i_j K \oplus t i_j \mathbf{d} \in {}_j \hat{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) i_j$, where $\alpha(W) \subset \mathbf{R}$, $\beta(W) \subset \mathbf{R}$, $e, t \in \mathbf{R}$. Extend this multiplication on all elements of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$ by $\mathbf{R}$ bi-linearity (see Formulas 2.1(3)).

5. Corollary. *The set $\check{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the Lie super-algebra over $\mathcal{A}_r$.*

Proof. By the construction $\check{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the $\mathcal{A}_r$ vector space. Since $\mathcal{M}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the Lie super-algebra and ω satisfies Conditions (12, 14), then the multiplication in $\check{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$ defined by Formula 4(1) satisfies Identities 2.1(1 – 3).

6. Proposition. *The operator d_l from Definition 4 is the differentiation of the algebra $\check{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$.*

Proof. From the definition of d_l we get

$$(1) d_l([P \otimes x, Q \otimes y]_0) = d_l(PQ \otimes [x, y]) \\ = (d_l(PQ)) \otimes [x, y] = -\{((dP(z)/dz).z^{l+1})Q + P((dQ(z)/dz).z^{l+1})\} \otimes [x, y] \\ = ((d_l P)Q + P(d_l Q)) \otimes [x, y] = [(d_l P) \otimes x, Q \otimes y]_0 + [P \otimes x, (d_l Q) \otimes y]_0. \text{ By the bi-additivity}$$

we get

$$(2) d_l[a, b]_0 = [d_l a, b]_0 + [a, d_l b]_0, \text{ consequently,}$$

$$d_l[a + \alpha K, b + \beta K] = [d_l a, b]_0 + [a, d_l b]_0 \text{ for all } a, b \in \check{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) \text{ and each } \alpha, \beta \in F(W, \mathcal{A}_r).$$

On the other hand,

$$[d_l a, b] = [d_l a, b]_0 + \omega(d_l a, b)K.$$

Since $\text{Res}(z_0, (d_0 d_l P)Q) + \text{Res}(z_0, (d_l P)(d_0 Q)) = \text{Res}(z_0, d_0((d_l P)Q)) = 0$ and symmetrically $\text{Res}(z_0, P d_0 d_l Q) + \text{Res}(z_0, (d_0 P)(d_l Q)) = \text{Res}(z_0, d_0(P d_l Q)) = 0$, then

$$(\text{Res}(z_0, (d_0 d_l P)Q) + \text{Res}(z_0, (d_l P)(d_0 Q))).(x|y) \\ = (-\text{Res}(z_0, (d_l P)(d_0 Q)) + \text{Res}(z_0, (d_l P)(d_0 Q))).(x|y) = 0 \text{ and inevitably}$$

(3) $\omega(d_l a, b) + \omega(a, d_l b) = 0$ for each $a = P \otimes x$ and $b = Q \otimes y$. Using $\mathbf{R}$ linearity of $\text{Res}(z_0, (d_0 P)Q).(x|y)$ by P, Q, x and y and Equations (1 – 3) we get the statement of this proposition.

7. Proposition. *The commutator of differentiations d_k and d_j on the space $\mathcal{M}(V, W)$*

is

$$(1) [d_k, d_j] = (k - j)d_{j+k}.$$

Proof. Since $d_k d_j P Q = d_k((d_j P)Q + P d_j Q) = (d_k d_j P)Q + (d_j P)(d_k Q) + (d_k P)(d_j Q) + P(d_k d_j Q)$, then $[d_k, d_j]PQ = (d_k d_j - d_j d_k)PQ = ([d_k, d_j]P)Q + (d_j P)(d_k Q) - (d_k P)(d_j Q) + (d_k P)(d_j Q) - (d_j P)(d_k Q) + P([d_k, d_j]Q) = ([d_k, d_j]P)Q + P([d_k, d_j]Q)$.

For each term αz^l with a constant $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_r$ we have $[d_k, d_j]\alpha z^l = l(j + l)\alpha z^{j+l+k} - l(k + l)\alpha z^{k+l+j} = (j - k)l\alpha z^{j+l+k} = (k - j)d_{j+k}\alpha z^l$ on $V \setminus W$ for each $l \in \mathbf{Z}$. We also have

$$(2) d_j \{ \alpha_{m_1, j} z^{m_1} \dots \alpha_{m_p, j} z^{m_p} \}_{q(2p)} = \sum_{s=1}^p \{ \alpha_{m_1, j} z^{m_1} \dots \alpha_{m_{s-1}, j} z^{m_{s-1}} (\alpha_{m_s, j} d_l z^{m_s}) \alpha_{m_{s+1}, j} z^{m_{s+1}} \dots \alpha_{m_p, j} z^{m_p} \}_{q(2p)}.$$

By the non commutative analog of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem (see §2 [17, 18]) for each compact canonical closed subset J in $V \setminus W$ the set of polynomials over $\mathcal{A}_r$ is dense in the set of continuous $\mathcal{A}_r$ valued functions on J . For each z differentiable f function on J there exists a sequence of polynomials f_n converging to f with f'_n converging to f' uniformly on J and $J \times \{h \in \mathcal{A}_r : |h| \leq 1\}$ respectively. Applying identities deduced above

$$(3) [d_k, d_j]PQ = ([d_k, d_j]P)Q + P([d_k, d_j]Q) \text{ and}$$

(4) $[d_k, d_j]\alpha z^l = (k - j)d_{j+k}\alpha z^l$ to ordered products of terms $\alpha_{m_k, j} z^{m_k}$ with associators $q(2p)$, where $\alpha_{m_k, j}$ are Cayley-Dickson constants, $p \in \mathbf{N}$, $m_1, \dots, m_p \in \mathbf{Z}$, we get that

$$(4) [d_k, d_j]f = (k - j)d_{k+j}f \text{ for each } f \in \mathcal{M}(V, W) \text{ on the domain } V \setminus W.$$

8. Remark. From the definition of operators d_j it follows, that

(1) $[p\beta d_j, d_k] = [pd_j, \beta d_k] = p\beta[d_j, d_k]$ for each real number p and every Cayley-Dickson number β . Certainly

(2) $[\alpha d_s + \beta d_j, d_k] = [\alpha d_s, d_k] + [\beta d_j, d_k]$ and $[d_k, \alpha d_s + \beta d_j] = [d_k, \alpha d_s] + [d_k, \beta d_j]$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}_r$. Define the algebra $\partial := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{A}_r d_j$ of vector fields on $\mathcal{A}_r \setminus \{0\}$. The non commutative analog of the Virasoro algebra is $\mathcal{V}_r := \partial \oplus \mathcal{A}_r c$, where c denotes the additional generator and with the multiplication

$$(3) [d_j + sc, d_k + tc] = (j - k)d_{j+k} + [(j^3 - j)\delta_{j, -k}/12]c, \text{ where } s, t \in \mathcal{A}_r.$$

Then it is possible to define the semidirect product $\mathcal{V}_r \otimes^s \check{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$ with the multiplication given by Formulas (1 – 3), 4(1) and

$$(4) [c, \check{\mathcal{M}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})] = 0.$$

This algebra may be useful in the quantum field theory over quaternions and octonions.

References

- [1] J.C. Baez. "The octonions". Bull. Amer. Mathem. Soc. **39: 2** (2002), 145-205.
- [2] Yu.A. Bachturin. "Basic structures of modern algebra" (Moscow: Nauka, 1990).
- [3] C. Bartocci, U. Bruzzo, D. Hernández-Ruipérez. "The Geometry of supermanifolds" (Dordrecht: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1991).

- [4] G. Emch. "Mèchanique quantique quaternionienne et Relativité restreinte". *Helv. Phys. Acta* **36**, 739-788 (1963).
- [5] R. Engelking. "General topology" (Moscow: Mir, 1986).
- [6] G.M. Fihthengolz. "Course of differential and integral calculus" V. 1-3 (Nauka: Moscow, 1966).
- [7] M. Goto, F.D. Grosshans. "Semisimple Lie algebras" (New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1978).
- [8] W.R. Hamilton. "Selected papers. Optics. Dynamics. Quaternions" (Nauka: Moscow, 1994).
- [9] F.R. Harvey. "Spinors and calibrations". *Perspectives in Mathem.* **9** (Academic Press: Boston, 1990).
- [10] V.G. Kac. "Infinite dimensional Lie algebras" (Cambridge: Cambr. Univ. Press, 1990).
- [11] I.L. Kantor, A.S. Solodovnikov. "Hypercomplex numbers" (Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1989).
- [12] A.N. Kolmogorov, S.V. Fomin. "Elements of theory of functions and functional analysis" (Nauka: Moscow, 1989).
- [13] A.G. Kurosh. "Lectures on general algebra" (Nauka: Moscow, 1973).
- [14] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelson. "Spin geometry" (Princ. Univ. Press: Princeton, 1989).
- [15] M.A. Lavrentjev, B.V. Shabat. "Methods of functions of the complex variable" (Nauka: Moscow, 1987).
- [16] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelson. "Spin geometry" (Princ. Univ. Press: Princeton, 1989).
- [17] S.V. Ludkovsky, F. van Oystaeyen. "Differentiable functions of quaternion variables". *Bull. Sci. Math. (Paris). Ser. 2.* **127** (2003), 755-796.
- [18] S.V. Ludkovsky. *J. Mathem. Sci.* "Differentiable functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers and line integration", **141: 3** (2007), 1231-1298 (previous version: Los Alam. Nat. Lab. *math.NT/0406048*; *math.CV/0406306*; *math.CV/0405471*).
- [19] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Poisson measures for topological groups and their representations". *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics.* **25** (2002), 653-680. (shortly in *Russ. Math. Surv.* **56: 1** (2001), 169-170; previous versions: **IHES/M/98/88**, 38 pages, also Los Alamos Nat. Lab. **math.RT/9910110**).

- [20] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Functions of several Cayley-Dickson variables and manifolds over them". J. Mathem. Sci. **141: 3** (2007), 1299-1330 (previous variant: Los Alamos Nat. Lab. **math.CV/0302011**).
- [21] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Normal families of functions and groups of pseudoconformal diffeomorphisms of quaternion and octonion variables". Sovrem. Mathem. Fundam. Napravl. **18** (2006), 101-164 (previous variant: Los Alam. Nat. Lab. **math.DG/0603006**).
- [22] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Stochastic processes on geometric loop groups, diffeomorphism groups of connected manifolds, associated unitary representations". J. Mathem. Sci. **141: 3** (2007), 1331-1384 (previous version: Los Alam. Nat. Lab. **math.AG/0407439**, July 2004).
- [23] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Geometric loop groups and diffeomorphism groups of manifolds, stochastic processes on them, associated unitary representations". In the book: "Focus on Groups Theory Research" (Nova Science Publishers, Inc.: New York) 2006, pages 59-136.
- [24] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Generalized geometric loop groups of complex manifolds, Gaussian quasi-invariant measures on them and their representations". J. Mathem. Sci. **122: 1** (2004), 2984-3011 (earlier version: Los Alam. Nat. Lab. **math.RT/9910086**, October 1999).
- [25] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-conformal functions of quaternion and octonion variables, their integral transformations". Far East J. of Math. Sciences **28: 1** (2008), 37-88 (earlier version: Los Alamos Nat. Lab. **math.CV/07033788**).
- [26] M.B. Mensky. "The paths group. Measurement. Fields. Particles" (Moscow: Nauka, 1983).
- [27] J.P. Ward. "Quaternions and Cayley numbers". Ser. Math. and its Applic. **403** (Kluwer: Dordrecht, 1997).